



A TOPICAL WORKSHOP

# **ORGANIC AND POLYMERIC NONLINEAR OPTICAL MATERIALS**


sponsored by

THE DIVISION OF POLYMER CHEMISTRY

AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY

**MAY 16 - 19, 1988**

**VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA**



A Topical Workshop  
ORGANIC AND POLYMERIC  
NONLINEAR OPTICAL MATERIALS

*sponsored by*

**The Division of Polymer Chemistry  
AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY**

May 16-19, 1988  
Virginia Beach, VA

*Co-Chairmen*

*Diana Gerbi*

*Sukant Tripathy*

The generous support of the contributors is gratefully acknowledged: Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Naval Research, Du Pont Company, Hoechst-Celanese and 3M Company.

Monday Evening, May 16, 1988

3:00-9:00 p.m.

REGISTRATION

6:30-9:30 p.m.

WELCOMING RECEPTION

**PROGRAM:**

**Tuesday Morning, May 17, 1988**

8:15 A.M.

**Opening Remarks**

8:20-9:10

A. *"An Overview on Nonlinear Optical Polymer Systems and Devices"* by D.R. Ulrich (Air Force Office of Scientific Research)

9:10-10:00

B. *"Nonlinear Optical Effects in Polymeric Films"* by P.N. Prasad (State University of New York at Buffalo)

10:00-10:30

**Break**

10:30-11:20

C. *"Recent Advances in Nonlinear Optical Properties of Organic and Polymer Systems"* by A.F. Garito (University of Pennsylvania)

11:20-12:10

D. *"Conjugated Polymers and Nonlinear Optics"* by S. Etemad (Bell Communications)

**Lunch**

**Tuesday Afternoon, May 17, 1988**

1:30-2:20

E. *"Anisotropy of the Third Order Nonlinear Optical Susceptibility in Conjugated Polymers"* by A.J. Heeger (University of California at Santa Barbara)

2:20-3:10

F. *"Nonlinear Optics in Ordered Molecular Systems"* by K.D. Singer (AT&T)

3:10-3:40

**Break**

3:40-4:30 G. *"Several Series of Novel Polydiacetylenes for Nonlinear Optics"* by H. Nakanishi (Research Institute for Polymers and Textiles, Japan)

4:30-5:20 H. *"Resonance Effects in Cubic Hyperpolarisabilities of Conjugated Polymers"*, by F. Kajzar (CEN Saclay, France)

**Wednesday Morning, May 18, 1988**

8:30-9:20 I. *"Nonlinear Optical Measurements on Liquid Crystals and Quasi-Liquid Crystals"* by Y.R. Shen (University of California at Berkeley)

9:20-10:10 J. *"Nonlinear Optical Effects in Conjugated Systems"* by D. J. Gerbi (3M Co.)

10:10-10:40 **Break**

10:40-11:30 K. *"Optical Nonlinearity: Molecules, Assemblies and Wave Phenomena"* by G. R. Meredith (E.I. DuPont DeNemours and Co.)

10:30-12:20 L. *"Characterization of Polymeric Nonlinear Optical Materials"* by G. Khanarian (Hoechst-Cel-anese)

**LUNCH**

**Wednesday Afternoon, May 18, 1988**

1:30-2:20 M. *"Preparation and Characterization of Organo-Transition Metal Langmuir-Blodgett Films"* by T. Richardson (University of Oxford, U.K.)

2:20-3:10 N. *"Optical Properties of Organized Assemblies"* by S.K. Tripathy (University of Lowell)

3:10-3:40 **Break**

3:40-4:30 O. *"The Nonlinear Optics of Langmuir-Blodgett Films"* by I. Peterson (GEC Research, Ltd., Great Britain)

**Wednesday Evening, May 18, 1988**

6:00 p.m. POSTER SESSION  
Wine & Cheese

8:00 p.m. BANQUET

**Thursday Morning, May 19, 1988**

8:30-9:20 P. *"Advances in Organic Electro-Optic Devices"* by  
R.S. Lytel (Lockheed Research and Develop-  
ment)

9:20-10:10 Q. *"Organic Nonlinear Optical Devices and Mater-  
ial Considerations"* by B.K. Nayar (British Tele-  
com Research Laboratories, U.K.)

10:10-10:40 **Break**

10:40-11:30 R. *"Towards Nonlinear Optical Applications of  
Polydiacetylenes"* by M. Thakur (AT&T)

11:30-12:20 S. *"High Resolution Laser Spectroscopy in Poly-  
mers"* by D. Haarer (Universitat Bayreuth,  
West Germany)

12:20 *"Closing Remarks"* by K.J. Wynne (Office of Naval  
Research)

\*\*\*\*\*

**A-1**

D. R. Ulrich

Air Force Office of Scientific Research

**AN OVERVIEW ON NONLINEAR OPTICAL  
POLYMER SYSTEMS AND DEVICES**



BRIEFER:

Dr. Donald R. Ulrich

Directorate of Chemical &  
Atmospheric Sciences  
**AFOSR**

## NLO Response in Polymers

Molecular Level — Microscopic Polarization Related to Applied Electric Field

$$p(E) = \alpha E + \beta E^2 + \gamma E^3 \dots$$

Macroscopic NLO — Originates From Polarization Response of Molecular Electrons

$$P(E) = \chi^{(1)}E + \chi^{(2)}E^2 + \chi^{(3)}E^3 + \dots$$

- $\chi^{(1)}$  — Represents Linear Optics
- $\chi^{(2)}$  — Represents Third Order Nonlinear Process i.e., Third Harmonic Generation, Self-Focusing

First and Third Order Terms of Odd Power of E Common to All Materials — Centrosymmetric


- $\chi^{(2)}$  — Second Order Response i.e., Second Harmonic Generation, Optical Rectification

Only in Noncentrosymmetric Medium — Lacks Center of Inversion Symmetry

## Why NLO Polymers?


- Subpicosecond Response Times
- Large, Nonresonant Nonlinearities
- Low DC Dielectric Constants
- Low Switching Energy
- Broadband
- Low Absorption
- Absence of Diffusion Problems
- Potential for Resonant Enhancement
- Ease of Processing and Synthesis Modification
- Room Temperature Operation
- Environmental Stability
- Mechanical and Structural Integrity

## Where Will NLO Polymers Fit In?



## Comparison of Optical Switching Technologies


- Fastest Switches Based on Nonresonant Nonlinearity
- Power Requirements Inversely Proportional to Nonlinearity
- New Organic Polymers May Exceed Optical Device Limits of Resonant Materials
  - Requires Larger  $n_2$  Without Introducing Significant New Absorption
- For  $\lambda \neq 0.85 \mu\text{m}$ , There are No Alternatives to Organics for Fast Optical Switching



## Optical Performance Comparison

|                                                            | Polymers                                                                                                                                   | GaAs/GnAlAs                                                                                                                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Switch On/ Switch Off, $\tau$ (Recovery Period)            | • Femtoseconds                                                                                                                             | • Nanoseconds                                                                                                                                    |
| Energy Required to Induce Switching                        | • Moderate $N_2$<br>• Nonresonant<br>• Not Defined by $\lambda$<br>• Broad Band of Response and Light Sources<br>• Shows all NLO Processes | • High $N_2$<br>• Resonance Enhanced<br>• Limited by $\lambda$<br>• Close to Bandgap<br><br>• NLO 3D Order, But Not Optical Amplification or THG |
| Absorption Coefficient, $\alpha$ Associated With Switching | • $\frac{1}{10^4}$ GaAs                                                                                                                    | • Large                                                                                                                                          |
| Figure of Merit $FOM = \frac{n_2}{\alpha T}$               | • High ( $1 \times 10^7$ )                                                                                                                 | • Moderate ( $1.5 \times 10^5$ on Resonance)                                                                                                     |

## Mechanisms of Four Wave Mixing



## Research Directions NLO Polymer Requirements

- Nonlinear Susceptibilities  $\equiv 10^{-8}$  esu
  - Resonant vs Nonresonant
- Ease of Processing
  - Solubility
- Thin Films of Reasonable Optical Quality
  - Transparency
  - Surface Smoothness
- Environmental Stability
- Low Switching Energy (PJ, Diode Lasers)
- Characterization and Separation of Electronic, Molecular, Thermal and Charge Carrier Contribution Mechanisms to Response Time




## Status NLO Polymer Classes

| Class                             | Examples                                                      | NLO Function                             |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| ISO Tropic                        | Glasses<br>Alloys<br>Composites                               | $\chi^{(2)}$ , $\chi^{(3)}$              |
| Bond Alternation                  | Ladder Polymers<br>PTL, PQL<br>Polyscetylene<br>Polythiophene | $\chi^{(3)}$                             |
| Liquid Crystalline Polymers (LCP) | Side Chain LCPs                                               | $\chi^{(2)}$                             |
| Rigid Rod Aromatic Heterocyclics  | PBT LCPs<br>PBO<br>BBL                                        | $\chi^{(3)}$                             |
| Polydiacetylenes                  |                                                               | Mostly $\chi^{(3)}$<br>Some $\chi^{(2)}$ |

## Second Order Polymers for Electrooptical Devices

### Pendant Side Chain Structure



### High Activity

For SHG  
For Electrooptics  
 $\text{FOM} = \frac{r}{E}$

| Polymer                         | $\text{LiNbO}_3$ |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| $\chi^{(2)} = 120 \text{ pm/V}$ | 10 pm/V          |
| $r = 35 \text{ pm/V}$           | 30 pm/V          |
| 10                              | 1                |

### Excellent Secondary Properties

Spin Coatable for Thin Film Waveguides,  
2-4 Micron

Low Dielectric Constant ( $\epsilon_{\text{polymer}} = 3$ ;  
 $\epsilon_{\text{LiNbO}_3} = 30$ )

Low Loss ( $< 1 \text{ db/cm}$  at 830 nm)

Melt Processable for Optics


$T_g \sim 120^\circ\text{C}$




## Nonlinear Chromophores Covalently Linked to Glassy Polymer Constructs Noncentrosymmetric Assembly

Synthesis and Poling of Covalently-Functionalized Polymers for Frequency Doubling


### I. Functionalization




#### A. Alcohol Chromophores



#### B. Pyridinium Chromophores




### II. Alignment




## Dependence of SHG On Poling Field


### Significant Non-Random Chromophore Alignment




## FUNCTIONALIZATION OF POLY(p-HYDROXY-STYRENE) WITH NLO CHROMOPHORES



(PS)O-NPP FILMS  
TIME DEPENDENCE OF  $d_{33}$



(PS)O-NPP FILMS  
EFFECTS OF ANNEALING



Values for  $\chi^{(3)}$ ,  $E_g$ ,  $W$  From Semiempirical Calculations and Experimentally Determined  $\gamma(\text{HOMO})^2$  Delocalization Length for Prototype Ladder Polymers and Polyacetylene


| Polymer                                                | Band Gap $E_g$ (eV) | Band Width $W$ (eV) | $\chi^{(3)}$ (esu)    | $N_d$ |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Pristine Trans-PA                                      | 1.8                 | 10                  | $6.5 \times 10^{-10}$ | 29    |
| Pristine PXL<br>X = CH Ladder<br>(Chance)              | 0.7                 | 1.5                 | $5 \times 10^{-10}$   | 26-28 |
| Bipolaron<br>State of PXL<br>X = CH Ladder<br>(Dalton) | 0.3                 | 2                   | $10^{-7}$             |       |
| Protonated PXL                                         | 3.8                 | 3                   | $2 \times 10^{-18}$   |       |

## Implications of $\gamma(\text{HOMO})^2$ Delocalization Experiments For NLO Polymer Synthesis

- Negative Spin Density Confirms Electronic Excitation (Electron Correlation)
- Delocalization Length in Ladder Polymers and Polyacetylene the Same — 25 to 29 Atoms
- Indicates  $\chi^{(3)}$  Will Not Increase Beyond 25 Repeat Units (80 Å)
- Ladder Polymers May be Superior to Open Chain Polyanielines Because Improved  $\pi$ -Orbital Overlap Will Lead to Enhanced Delocalization and Reduced Optical Gap

## Dependence of $\gamma(-3\omega, \omega, \omega, \omega)$ On Chain Length


- Finite Chain Limit:  $\gamma \propto n^{5.5}$ 
  - Decreasing Excitation Energy
  - Increasing Number of Spin Correlated States
- Polymer Chains:  $\chi^{(3)} \sim 10^{-9}$  esu
  - 25 Repeat Units (80 Å)



## Application of Dalton's Results

- Solubilized Ladder Polymers by Lewis Acid Charge Transfer Complex Forming Agents


Soluble Benzimidazophenanthroline (BBL)




- Soluble in Organic Acids
- Reduces Intermolecular Attractive Forces and Allows Solubilization of Rigid Macromolecules

### LADDER POLYMERS

- BLEACHING OF  $\pi-\pi^*$  + INTERBAND (BAND GAP) TRANSITION UPON CHEMICAL DOPING



- ACCOMPANIED BY APPEARANCE OF INTERBAND (POLARON) TRANSITION IN NEAR IR




- VISUAL TRANSPARENCY TOGETHER WITH RESULTING HIGHLY CHARGED LATTICE

$$\chi^{(3)} \sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-7}$$


- OPTICAL SENSOR PROTECTION - DARPA/AFMC PROGRAM

## NONLINEAR OPTICAL AND PHOTOCHROMIC POLYMERS




| PHOTO-CHROMIC           | THERMAL NONLINEARITY              | ORIENTATIONAL KERR NONLINEARITY   | ELECTRONIC KERR NONLINEARITY    |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| — OPTICAL FUSE          | — DYE SENSITIZED THERMAL EFFECT   | — LIQUID CRYSTAL POLYMERS         | — ELECTRONIC X(3) POLYMERS      |
| — $10^{-3}$ D.C.        | — $10^{-3} - 10^{-7}$ SEC         | — $10^{-7} - 10^{-10}$ SEC        | — $10^{-9} - 10^{-12}$ SEC      |
| <b>THREAT</b>           | <b>THREAT</b>                     | <b>THREAT</b>                     | <b>THREAT</b>                   |
| — GAS LASERS            | — PULSED FLASH LAMP PUMPED LASERS | — Q-SWITCHED SOLID STATE LASERS   | — Q-SWITCHED SOLID STATE LASERS |
| — CW SOLID STATE LASERS | — GAS LASERS                      | — PULSED FLASH LAMP PUMPED LASERS | — MODE-LOCKED LASERS            |
|                         | — CW SOLID STATE LASERS           |                                   |                                 |

## HARDENING TECHNIQUES



- SUB NANOSECOND RESPONSE TIMES
- MILLISECOND RECOVERY SPEEDS (AT LEAST)
- BROADBAND WAVELENGTH RESPONSE (OVER VISIBLE AND NEAR IR)
- REJECTION EFFICIENCY >99% BEFORE AND AFTER SWITCHING
- HIGH DAMAGE THRESHOLDS ( $>10 \text{ MW/cm}^2$ )
- LOW INSERTION LOSSES AND MINIMAL RETROFIT COMPLEXITIES

## SENSOR PROTECTION




FOCUS IS ON CONCEPTS WHICH CAN:

- PROTECT AGAINST RAPIDLY TUNABLE, PULSED, VISIBLE AND NEAR IR LASER THREATS TO HIGH GAIN OPTICAL SYSTEMS
- USEFUL FOR RANGEFINDERS, VISUAL SENSORS, CAMERAS, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, THE EYE

## Third Order Polymers for Optical Devices


- Planar Structure With Extended  $\pi$  Orbitals



M = Metal Atom


- Shift in Focus From Conjugated Off-Resonance to Systems on Resonance With Narrow Molecular Extinction Coefficients
- High Activity Through Saturable Absorption
  - Effective  $N_2$  Equals AlGaAs MQW Structures
- Excellent Secondary Properties
  - Spin Coatable For Thin Film Structure Applications
  - Optical Bistability
  - Parallel Processing

## Phthalocyanines





- Large NLO response discovered by A. Garito
- Numerous variants synthesized to tailor
  - NLO activity
  - Fabrication properties

## Basic Structure of an Optical Neural Network




## OPTOELECTRONIC IMPLEMENTATION OF A NEURAL NETWORK



### ELECTRONIC FEEDBACK

- DEMONSTRATED STABILITY - STABLE OPTICAL INSTABILITY COMPARABLE TO CASE IN FIBER - PERIOD STABLE OF 1000 Å POLYMER FILM
- LINEAR ARRAY OF OPTICAL BISTABLE ELEMENTS THAT COULD REPLACE PHOTOELECTRONICS

## Angular Dependence of Reflected $\chi^{(3)}$ for Oriented Trans-Polyacetylene by Third Harmonic Generation



## Third Order Response in Polyacetylene

### • Resonant Process


- Photoexcited Nonlinear Excitations
- Associated Structural Distortions (Solitons, Bipolarons, Polarons) Responsible for Large Shifts of Oscillator Strength Observed With Resonant Pumping
- Consequence of Electron-Phonon Interaction
- Electronic Resonant Enhancement
- Nonradiative Dissipation Produces Slower Responses

### • Reflected $\chi^{(3)}$


- $9 \times 10^{-10}$  esu Parallel to Polymer Chain
- For Devices Polymer Must Be Able to Inherently Transmit or Guide Light

## Third Order NLO Response of Ordered Polymers

### • Collinear Rigid Rod



### • Planar Rigid Rod




### COMPARISON

|              |                                      |                                                |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Uniaxial PBT | — Third Harmonic Generation          | — $\chi^{(3)} \approx 10^{-10}$ esu            |
| Biaxial PBT  | — Degenerate Four Wave Mixing (DFWM) | — $\chi^{(3)} \approx 10^{-11}$ esu            |
| Uniaxial PBO | — Degenerate Four Wave Mixing        | — $\chi^{(3)} \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ esu |

- Requirement for Film Quality — Avoid Inherently Grain or Fibrous Components Which Scatter Light

## Degenerate Four Wave Mixing of Biaxial PBT Ordered Polymer Films


Poly (p-phenylenebenzobisthiazole) (PBT)



## Nonresonant Third Order Susceptibility $\chi^{(3)}$ in Biaxial PBT


### • Degenerate Four Wave Mixing

Polar Plot:  
 $\chi^{(3)}$  As a  
Function of  
Film Rotation  
Angle



- New Feature for Third Order Devices
- Anisotropic  $\chi^{(3)}$  Materials for Optically-Activated Birefringent Film Applications

## $\chi^{(3)}$ Polymers for Fibers



- $\chi^{(3)}$  units have modest activity but very low absorption
- Polymer backbone confers secondary properties
  - Spinability
- Shear and elongational flow yield alignment

## $\chi^{(3)}$ Materials

### Thin Limit

#### Properties

- Near resonance
- High  $n_2$
- High absorption ( $\alpha$ )

#### Material

- Phthalocyanines

#### Application

- Etalons
- All optical SLM's

#### Advantages

- Fabrication ease
- Room temperature operation
- Potentially highest  $n_2$  materials

### Thick Limit

#### Properties

- Non resonant
- Lower  $n_2$
- Very low absorption

#### Material

- Side chain polymer

#### Application

- NLO fibers
- All optical switching

#### Advantages

- Fiber spinning ease
- Potentially highest  $n_2/\alpha$  materials

### POLYALKYLTHIOPHENE





Fig. The degenerate four wave mixing signal obtained for a 20 layer thick Langmuir-Blodgett film of polyalkylthiophene shown as a function of the delay of the backward beam: the wavelength is 602 nm and the excitation pulsewidth is 340 fs.

$$\chi^{(3)} \sim 10^{-9} \text{ esu}$$

### POLYMER MULTIPLE QUANTUM WELLS



### MOLECULAR ARCHITECTURE TAILORING

- LIQUID CRYSTALLINITY
- GUEST-HOST BLENDS
- IN-SITU POLYMERIZATION AND ORDERING
- RIGID/FLEXIBLE CHAIN CONFORMATIONS

### NEW HETEROSTRUCTURE ASSEMBLIES

- GaAs ANALOGS
- NON GaAs ANALOGS


### QUANTUM DOT DOMAIN STRUCTURES

#### CHARGE CARRIER DYNAMICS


- CHARGE TRANSFER INTERACTIONS
- REDUCE DIMENSIONALITY OF CHARGE CARRIERS
- INTERFACE SHG
- PICOSECOND TRANSIENT ABSORPTION/GRATING
- TRANSIENT ETALON EFFECTS/PHONON INDUCED PROCESSES

## LCC Composite

### New LCC's



- $d < \lambda$  (Rayleigh scattering regime)
- Randomly oriented LC microdroplets
- Low scattering - hazy blue to transparent
- Kerr material with positive  $n_2$





# A-12

## ADVANCED POLYMER BLENDS AND OPTICS

### NLO POLYMERS

| GENERATION         | SECOND ORDER NLO <del>✓</del> (2)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | THIRD ORDER NLO <del>✓</del> (3) |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| I<br>(1980 - 1989) | HOMOPOLYMERS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                  |
|                    | 0 ISOTROPIC                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 0 BOND ALTERNATION               |
|                    | 0 POLED                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 0 LADDER                         |
|                    | 0 ORIENTED                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 0 ORDERED                        |
| II<br>(1990 - ?)   | POLYMER - POLYMER INTERACTIONS<br><div style="text-align: center;"> <span style="font-size: 1.5em;">{</span> <span style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: middle; text-align: center;">           BLENDS - ALLOYS<br/>           MOLECULAR COMPOSITES         </span> <span style="font-size: 1.5em;">}</span> </div> |                                  |
|                    | 0 SELF-INDUCED<br>POLARIZATION                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 0 NEAR-OFF RESONANCE             |
|                    | 0 SELF-ORGANIZING                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 0 PLANAR                         |

### IMPROVEMENTS IN CALCULATION OF NLO SINGLE-MOLECULE PROPERTIES

- PARALLEL COMPUTING
- ABSORPTION LINEWIDTHS
- MOLECULAR CONFORMATIONS AND DEFECTS
- DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVE METHODOLOGIES, SUCH AS FINITE FIELD APPROACH.

### GENERALIZATION OF SINGLE-MOLECULE TO BULK NLO PROPERTIES

- STATISTICAL AVERAGING OF ORIENTATION (FROZEN GAS MODEL)
- STATISTICAL AVERAGING OF CHAIN LENGTHS
- EFFECTS OF INTERMOLECULAR INTERACTIONS ON SINGLE MOLECULE NLO PROPERTIES
- EFFECTS OF INTERMOLECULAR INTERACTIONS ON MOLECULAR CONFORMATION AND STRUCTURE
- EFFECT OF INTERMOLECULAR INTERACTIONS ON MATERIAL ULTRASTRUCTURE

**THE KEY: INTERMOLECULAR INTERACTIONS!**

## Status of Understanding for Polymer Design

Detailed Mechanism(s) of Nonlinear Optical Activity in Delocalized Electron Polymers Have Yet to Be Defined

- Nonlinear Optical Activity May Not Be Simply Related to Bandgap
- Potential Contributions of D Orbitals to NLO (S-D Cross-Terms)
- Role of Electron-Phonon Interactions
- A Qualitative Correlation Between  $\chi^{(2)}$  and Electron Delocalization Length
- Effect of Doping and Intermolecular Charge Transfer Needs to Be Clarified
- Quantitative Theory Lacking to Predict macromolecules With Large Second Order (Beta) and Third Order (Gamma) Molecular Susceptibilities

## State-of-Art $\chi^{(2)}$ Devices

### • Travelling-Wave Electrode Mach-Zehnder Electrooptic Modulator

|                         | LiNbO <sub>3</sub> Modulator | Polymer Modulator                      |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Switching Voltage (V)   | 3 1/2 - 10 1/2               | 1.3<br>(0.7 With Higher $\chi^{(2)}$ ) |
| Power Requirement (W)   | 0.6 - 5                      | 0.03                                   |
| Maximum Frequency (GHz) | 8 - 24                       | > 50                                   |

— No Expected Velocity Mismatch Implies Higher Frequency Devices are Possible With Polymers


### • Electrooptic Bragg Cell

— High Speed Radar Signal Processing  
— 20 GHz as a Target


### • Second Harmonic Generation

— High Efficiency Doubling of a Diode Laser


## Waveguide Devices



## Polymeric Electrooptic Modulator



## Traveling-Wave Waveguide



• Voltage Varies Along the Length  
( $L \geq \lambda$  Electrical)


• Important Device Parameters:

- Voltage
- Optical Mode Size
- Drive Power
- Speed
- Length
- Impedance


## State-of-Art $\chi^{(3)}$ Devices

- Far Less Progress Than  $\chi^{(2)}$
- Large Payoff in Multiplexing and Demultiplexing
  - High Speed
  - Handle Many Inputs
  - Very High  $\chi^{(3)}$
- Can Lead to:
  - All Optical Interferometer and Optical Switch
  - Optical Bistability and Digital Optical Information Processing
  - Optically Induced Dynamic Grating and Real-Time Holography
- Device Performance Depends on Material Properties in a Complicated Way
  - Determined by Device Architecture
  - Parallel/Analog Processing of 2D Image
  - Phase Conjugate Optics and Image Processing
  - Self Focusing/Defocusing Applications


## Mach-Zehnder All Optical Modulator



## OPTICAL BISTABILITY IN A NONLINEAR POLYMER FABRI-PEROT ETALON



## OPTICAL BISTABILITY IN A POLYMER QUASI-WAVEGUIDE INTERFEROMETER



### MERITS:

- (1) Obtain Linear Refractive Index and Film Thickness
- (1) Obtain Both Magnitude and Sign of  $\chi^{(3)}$

Ref 28

## OPTICAL BISTABILITY IN A NONLINEAR POLYMER QUASI-WAVEGUIDE INTERFEROMETER




Figure 1. The observed optical bistability behavior in the poly-4-BCMU polymer quasi-waveguide interferometer. The detuning angle is  $499^\circ$ .

## Summary

### Second Order

- Poled Polymer Films
  - Close to Achieving Levels of Response for Electrooptic Device Application
- First Polymers Comparable to Lithium Niobate Reported
- Focus for Research to Achieve Optimum Electrooptic Modulation
  - $\chi^{(2)}$  Parallel to Film Surface

### Third Order

- Nonlinearities of  $10^{-6}$  esu Large for Nonresonance but Still Needs to be Larger ( $\approx 10^{-7}$  esu) for Broad Device Application
- State-of-Art of  $10^{-6}$  esu Will Find Applications in Optical Wave Guide Devices Such as Optical Switches and Optically Controlled Modulators
- Thin Film Applications Such as Etalons Unlikely Unless Significant Advances in Larger Nonlinearities, Optical Flatness and Optical Clarity

**B-1**

P. N. Prasad

State University of New York at Buffalo

**NONLINEAR OPTICAL EFFECTS  
IN POLYMERIC FILMS**

## B-2

### NONLINEAR OPTICAL EFFECTS

### SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR OPTICAL PROCESSES

N

#### CURRENT STATUS

#### POLYMERIC FILMS

PARAS N. PRASAD

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO

BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14214

1. PREDICTABILITY OF STRUCTURE  
FOR MOLECULAR ENGINEERING  
→ REASONABLE


2. LARGE  $\chi^{(2)}$   
FAST RESPONSE TIME → ALREADY ACHIEVED  
LOW DC DIELECTRIC CONSTANT

3. GUIDED WAVE PROCESSES - ALREADY DEMONSTRATED


4. POLYMERIC STRUCTURES  
NOT REQUIRED, BUT MAY BE DESIRABLE FOR MATERIALS  
PROCESSING AND DEVICE APPLICATIONS  
DOPED POLYMERS, LIQUID CRYSTALLINE POLYMERS, ETC.

5. DEVICES (?) : RESTRICTED BY MATERIALS LIMITATION

#### ORGANIC NONLINEAR OPTICS PROGRAM



# B-3



## Ab-initio Calculations of Second Hyperpolarizabilities ( $\gamma$ )

OBJECTIVE: Relative Importance of  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  contributions

comparison of various  $\pi$  electron structures

$\pi$ -electron conjugation effect

Sign of  $\gamma$

Anisotropy of  $\gamma$  tensor

Effect of substituents

Conformational effect

Effect of Structural (conformational defects)  
such as solitons, polarons and bipolarons

Identify Structural Parameters  
for enhancing  $\gamma(3)$

## AB-INITIO APPROACH

DEFINE MOLECULAR HAMILTONIAN INCLUDING  
FIELD DEPENDENT STARK TERM

CONSTRUCT LCAO - MO - SLATER DETERMINANTS  
WITH A CHOICE OF AO BASIS SET

OPTIMIZE THE GEOMETRY USING SCF

CALCULATE DIPOLE MOMENT AS A FUNCTION OF FIELD

POLYNOMIAL FIT TO GET  $\gamma$

CHANGE FIELD DIRECTIONS TO CALCULATE  
DIFFERENT COMPONENTS OF  $\gamma$

ORBITAL TRANSFORMATION TO SEPARATE  
 $\sigma$  AND  $\pi$ -CONTRIBUTIONS

CONTOUR PLOTS TO OBTAIN FIELD INDUCED  
CHARGE REDISTRIBUTION

STATIC SECOND HYPERPOLARIZABILITIES ( $\gamma$ )

NONRESONANT VALUE

MERITS OF THIS APPROACH:

ONLY GROUND STATE PROPERTIES NEED  
TO BE DEFINED


IN PERTURBATIVE CALCULATIONS INVOLVING  
EXCITED STATES EXPANSION, EXCITED STATE  
PROPERTIES NEED TO BE CALCULATED

## B-4

### SOME CONCLUSIONS OF THEORETICAL STUDIES OF MICROSCOPIC NONLINEARITY

1. THE CHOICE OF BASIS, PARTICULARLY THE INCLUSION OF DIFFUSE POLARIZATION FUNCTIONS, IS IMPORTANT IN DESCRIBING THE HYPERPOLARIZABILITIES OF CONJUGATED SMALL MOLECULES.
2.  $\gamma$  IS ANISOTROPIC, WITH THE COMPONENT  $\gamma_{zzzz}$  ALONG CHAIN GROWING RAPIDLY FOR THE CONJUGATED HYDROCARBONS SERIES POLYENES, POLYNYES AND CUMULENES AS THE CHAIN LENGTH GROWS.  
3.2
3. FOR POLYENES, CHAIN LENGTH DEPENDENCE OF  $N^{3.2}$  IS FOUND. FREE ELECTRON MODEL AND HUCKEL MODEL PREDICT  $N^5$  AND  $N^{5.3}$  RESPECTIVELY.
4. THE CORRESPONDING ORBITAL ANALYSIS SHOWS THAT THE SIGMA AND  $\pi$ -ELECTRON CONTRIBUTIONS TO  $\gamma$  ARE OF OPPOSITE SIGNS.
5. CONTOUR MAP OF THIRD DERIVATIVE OF CHARGE DENSITY WITH RESPECT TO FIELD (REGIONAL CONTRIBUTION ANALYSIS OF  $\gamma$ ) CONDUCTED FOR POLYNYES, INDICATES THAT THE NONLINEAR ELECTRONIC DISTORTION IS A CO-OPERATIVE EFFECT WITH A SUBSTANTIAL CHARGE TRANSFER ALONG THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THE CHAIN.
6. OUR SCF CALCULATION RESULTS FOR  $\alpha$  COMPARE WELL WITH THOSE OF THE SOS METHOD, BUT  $\gamma$  VALUES DO NOT AGREE.
7. IN THE SERIES  $R_1 - C \equiv C - R_2$ , THE LONGITUDINAL COMPONENT  $\gamma_{zzzz}$  INCREASES AS THE ELECTRON WITHDRAWS GROUPS AT  $R_1$  OR  $R_2$  ARE SUBSTITUTED.
8. A COMPARISON OF  $\gamma$  FOR CIS-BUTADIENE, TRANS-BUTADIENE AND THIOPHENE REVEALS THE FOLLOWING ORDER:  
TRANS-BUTADIENE > THIOPHENE > CIS-BUTADIENE

THIOPHENE OLIGOMERS  
REPEAT UNIT DEPENDENCE





# B-5

THIN FILM CHARACTERISTICS

DEPENDENCE OF  $\alpha$  AND  $\gamma$  ON NUMBER OF REPEAT UNITS (N)

|                                       | $F = A + B/N + C/N^2$                   | $F = \alpha$ OR $\gamma$     |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| EXPT.                                 | FOR $\langle \alpha \rangle$ $C = 1.69$ | FOR $\gamma$ $C = 4.05$      |
| OUR AB-INITIO CALCULATION ON POLYENES | FOR $\alpha$ $C = 1.3 + 1.5$            | FOR $\gamma$ $C = 3.2 + 3.4$ |
| FREE ELECTRON MODEL                   | FOR $\alpha$ $C = 3$                    | FOR $\gamma$ $C = 5$         |
| PPP MODEL                             | FOR $\alpha$ $C = 2.3$                  | FOR $\gamma$ $C = 5.3$       |

FOR TUNING

|                       | $\langle \alpha \rangle$               | $\langle \gamma \rangle$          |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| AB-INITIO CALCULATION | $8.21 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ | $1 \times 10^{-35} \text{ (esu)}$ |
| EXPT. VALUE           | $9.8 \times 10^{-24}$                  | $3.5 \times 10^{-35}$             |

FOR RITZING

|                       | $\langle \alpha \rangle$ |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| AB-INITIO CALCULATION | $1.45 \times 10^{-22}$   |
| EXPT. VALUE           | $2.5 \times 10^{-23}$    |


DEPENDENCE OF  $\chi^{(3)}$   
ON REPEAT UNITS

1. MAGNITUDE OF  $\chi^{(3)}$
2. RESPONSE TIME
3. ANISOTROPY OF  $\chi^{(3)}$   
FOURTH RANK TENSOR
4. SIGN OF  $\chi^{(3)}$
5. REAL OR COMPLEX
6. LOCAL FIELD EFFECT
7. ELECTRONIC RESONANCE ENHANCEMENT
8. VIBRATIONAL RESONANCE ENHANCEMENT

TECHNIQUES USED

PHOTORESONANT DEGENERATE FOUR WAVE MIXING  
OPTICAL WAVELENGTH  
NON-LOCAL FERRY TEST  
SURFACE PLASMON  
TWO WAVELENGTH GENERATION  
PUMP STATE EXPERIMENT

## MECHANISMS OF FOUR WAVE MIXING



# MEASUREMENTS OF $\chi^{(3)}$


## RELEVANT PARAMETERS:

1. MAGNITUDE OF  $\chi^{(3)}$
2. RESPONSE TIME
3. ANISOTROPY OF  $\chi^{(3)}$   
FOURTH RANK TENSOR
4. SIGN OF  $\chi^{(3)}$
5. REAL OR COMPLEX (?)
6. LOCAL FIELD EFFECT
7. ELECTRONIC RESONANCE ENHANCEMENT
8. VIBRATIONAL RESONANCE ENHANCEMENT

## TECHNIQUES USED:

- FEMTOSECOND DEGENERATE FOUR WAVE MIXING
- OPTICAL WAVEGUIDE
- NON-LINEAR FABRY PEROT
- SURFACE PLASMON
- THIRD HARMONIC GENERATION
- KERR GATE EXPERIMENT

POLAR PLOTS:  $\chi^{(3)}$  AS A FUNCTION OF FILM ROTATION ANGLE



RESONANT  $\chi^{(3)}$  BY FOUR WAVE MIXING  
ELECTRONIC RESONANCES

| SYSTEMS                                            | MECHANISM                     | RESPONSE TIME | $\chi^{(3)}$   |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| PVLTNF                                             | CORRELATED ELECTRON-HOLE PAIR | >100 ps       | $10^{-11}$ esu |
| POLYACETYLENE                                      | SOLITON/POLARON DYNAMICS      | fs            | $10^{-9}$ esu  |
| PMMA                                               | EXCITON DYNAMICS              | ps            | $10^{-8}$ esu  |
| ETHYLOXYANINES (LB FILMS)                          | POLARON DYNAMICS              | fs            | $10^{-9}$ esu  |
| POLYTHIOPHENE (ELECTROCHEMICALLY DEPOSITED)        | POLARON DYNAMICS              | fs            | $10^{-8}$ esu  |
| POLYALKYETHIOPHENE ISOLATION CAST FILMS, L-B FILMS | POLARON DYNAMICS              | fs            | $10^{-8}$ esu  |

CAUTION:  $\chi^{(3)}$  DEPENDENT ON PULSE WIDTH GOING FROM 500 fs TO 2 ps INCREASES  $\chi^{(3)}$  BY A FACTOR OF 3 IN ETHYLOXYANINES.

## B-7

### NONLINEAR OPTICAL EFFECTS IN CONJUGATED POLYMERS WITH CONFORMATIONAL DEFECTS (Bond Alternation)

NONRESONANT CASE: Charge on Polymer Backbone can be Varied in a Controlled way - Tune  $\chi^{(3)}$


RESONANT CASE: Photoinduced Solitons and Bipolarons create Mid Gap States

Redistribution of Oscillator Strength

Large  $\chi^{(3)}$  with Fast Response

#### A NEW PHENOMENON


Chemical or Electrochemical Switching of  $\chi^{(3)}$  Between High and Low States



POLYALKYLTHIOPHENE - LB FILMS  
IODINE DOPING

### IN-SITU ELECTROCHEMICAL STUDY OF $\chi^{(3)}$ IN POLYTHIOPHENE

REDUCED FORM  $\leftrightarrow$  OXIDIZED FORM  $\leftrightarrow$  REDUCED FORM




# B-8

## PICOSECOND TIME-RESOLVED COHERENT RAMAN SCATTERING

$\uparrow$   
X(3)




CARS







CSRS

## EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT





POLY - 4 BCMU (LOW MOL. WT.), 42 K






FLUID BEARINGS  
OPTICAL SWITCHING  
OPTICAL BISTABILITY  
OPTICAL LIMITER ACTION  
LIGHT MODULATION

1. SURFACE PLASMON  
ELECTRO-OPTIC MODULATION IN THE ELECTRICALLY POLED MONOLAYER LANGMUIR-BLODGETT FILMS OF A LIQUID CRYSTALLINE POLYMER
2. FABRY-PEROT ETALON  
OPTICAL SWITCHING AND OPTICAL BISTABILITY  
BOTH ABSORPTIVE AND DISPERSIVE.
3. QUASI-WAVEGUIDE INTERFEROMETER  
OPTICAL BISTABILITY
4. WAVEGUIDE  
MAY BE ONLY EXAMPLE OF  $\chi^{(3)}$  POLYMER WAVEGUIDE  
LIMITER ACTION (INTENSITY DEPENDENT PHASE SHIFT)  
INTENSITY DEPENDENT COUPLING ANGLE  
FREQUENCY SPECTRUM SHAPING IN WAVEGUIDE PROPAGATION
5. HOLLOW FIBERS FILLED WITH NONLINEAR MATERIALS  
KERR SCATTERING, GAIN AND AMPLIFICATION



M. H. CARPENTER AND P. N. PRASAD



# B-10

WHERE DO WE GO NOW?




FIG. 1. Experimental setup for the observation of optical bistability.




FIG 2 LEFT: INPUT AND OUTPUT PULSES.  
RIGHT: INPUT-OUTPUT CHARACTERISTICS.

## 1. ENHANCEMENT OF $\chi^{(3)}$

(A) DO WE NEED EXTENSIVE  $\pi$  + ELECTRON DELOCALIZATION?

BAND GAP REDUCES. PROBLEM WITH NONRESONANT WMOGM

PROBLEM WITH DISTRIBUTION OF CONJUGATION LENGTH

A BROAD DISTRIBUTION OF BAND GAPS.

(B) DO WE NEED A HIGH MOLECULAR WEIGHT POLYMER?


PROBLEM WITH SOLUBILITY

DERIVATIZE WITH LONG ALKYL CHAIN, REDUCES PACKING DENSITY

PROBLEM WITH DISTRIBUTION OF MOLECULAR WEIGHT

REFRACTIVE INDEX INHOMOGENEITIES

(C) DO WE NEED  $\pi$  + ELECTRONS?



WE NEED A BETTER UNDERSTANDING  
OF STRUCTURE-PROPERTY RELATIONSHIPS

THEORETICAL STUDIES  
OF  
MICROSCOPIC NONLINEARITIES

BULK  
EFFECTS

EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES OF  
SEQUENTIALLY BUILT  
AND SYSTEMATICALLY  
DERIVATIZED STRUCTURES

## 2. OPTICAL QUALITY MATERIALS

PROCESSABLE MATERIALS

STRUCTURAL CONTROL, CHARACTERIZATION AND MATERIALS PROCESSING FOR LOW  
OPTICAL LOSS SYSTEMS

## 3. DEVICE PHYSICS AND DEVICE STRUCTURES

EXPERIMENTS WITH CHANNELLED WAVEGUIDES, ETALONS, FIBERS

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

|                     |                     |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| DR. J. SWIATKIEWICZ | DR. D.N. RAO        |
| DR. R. BURZYNSKI    | DR. S.P. SINGH      |
| DR. S. GHOSHAL      | DR. J. PFLEGER      |
| DR. H.S. NALWA      | DR. L. JANIEZIEWSKA |
| MR. P. CHOPRA       | MR. X. HUANG        |
| MR. L. CARLAOCI     | MR. Y. FANG         |
| MR. S. GHOSHAL      | MR. M. CARPENTER    |
| MR. J. BIEGAJSKI    | MR. P. LOOSDON      |
| MR. M.T. COOLBAUGH  | MR. M. CASSETEVENS  |
| MR. R. ZAWADA       | MR. M.T. ZHANG      |

DR. DONALD R. ULRICH (AFOSR)

## SUPPORT

AIR FORCE OFFICE  
OF  
SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

**C-1**

A. F. Garito  
University of Pennsylvania

RECENT ADVANCES IN NONLINEAR  
OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF ORGANIC  
AND POLYMER SYSTEMS


RECENT ADVANCES IN NONLINEAR OPTICAL PROPERTIES  
OF ORGANIC AND POLYMER SYSTEMS

A.F. Garito  
Department of Physics  
University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6396


**ELECTRON CORRELATED STATES: ORIGIN OF THIRD ORDER NONLINEAR  
OPTICAL SUSCEPTIBILITY OF CONJUGATED LINEAR CHAINS**



# FERMI GAS WITH ELECTRON-ELECTRON INTERACTIONS



QFT



$U_1 \rightarrow 0$

ELECTRON DELOCALIZATION

**TIGHT BINDING: HUCKEL**  
 $H = \sum t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}$   
 $E = \alpha + 2t \cos ka$   
 • INDEPENDENT ELECTRONS  
 • EXCITONS

**HUBBARD**  
 $H = t \sum c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$   
 • ELECTRON CORRELATION  
 • 2 PHOTON STATES  
 • SDWs  
 • CDWs

**HEISENBERG**  
 $H = \sum J_{ij} \cdot \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$   
 $J_{ij} = -2t^2 / U$   
 • 1D MAGNETIC CHAINS  
 • SDWs


**SCF - MO - MCI**  
 $H = \sum t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + \sum U_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}$   
 $+ \sum (-1 - \sum U_{ij}) c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma} + \sum U_{ij}$   
 • ALL VALENCE ELECTRONS  
 • S, D, T, O, ---- CI  
 • 2 PHOTON STATES

COMPUTATION

# ELECTRON CORRELATION AND RESONANT PROCESSES



- $\pi$ -ELECTRON STATES DOMINATED BY  
ELECTRON CORRELATIONS
- TWO PHOTON  $2^1A_g$  STATE EXISTS BELOW  
FIRST OPTICALLY ALLOWED  $1^1B_u$  STATE
- PPP AND HUBBARD MODELS OBTAIN  
CORRECT STATE ORDERING WITH INCLUSION  
OF AT LEAST DOUBLY EXCITED  
CONFIGURATIONS (DCI)



FROM TAVAN AND SCHULTEN, J. CHEM. PHYS. 85,  
6602 (1986)

# ELECTRONIC STATES OF OT

| SYMMETRY | ENERGY<br>(eV) | $\mu_{Ag}(D)$ | $\mu_{Bu,n}(D)$ |
|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| $5^1B_u$ | 7.30           | 1.14          | 0.00            |
| $6^1A_g$ | 7.16           | 0.00          | 13.24           |
| $4^1B_u$ | 7.01           | 1.11          | 0.00            |
| $3^1B_u$ | 6.47           | 0.01          | 0.00            |
| $5^1A_g$ | 6.07           | 0.00          | 1.11            |
| $4^1A_g$ | 6.00           | 0.00          | 2.84            |
| $3^1A_g$ | 5.19           | 0.00          | 0.07            |
| $2^1B_u$ | 4.79           | 0.86          | 0.00            |
| $1^1B_u$ | 4.42           | 7.81          | 0.00            |
| $2^1A_g$ | 4.15           | 0.00          | 2.82            |

$1^1A_g$  —



OT (octatetraene)


## VERTICAL EXCITATION ENERGIES: CHAIN LENGTH

| N(sites) | $1^1B_u$ (eV) |       | $2^1A_g$ (eV) |       |
|----------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|
|          | Exp.          | Theo. | Exp.          | Theo. |
| 4        | 5.91          | 5.77  | 5.4(0-0)      | 5.31  |
| 6        | 4.93          | 4.94  | 4.0(0-0)      | 4.59  |
| 8        | 4.40          | 4.42  | 3.97          | 4.15  |
| 10       | 4.02          | 4.07  | 3.48          | 3.90  |
| 12       | 3.65          | 3.83  | 2.91          | 3.74  |



- DOMINANT 1 PHOTON STATE:  $1^1B_u$
- LOW-LYING  $2^1A_g$  EXCITED STATE:  
ELECTRON CORRELATION



# MICROSCOPIC ORIGIN OF $\gamma(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega)$ FOR LINEAR CONJUGATED CHAINS




OT

- $\gamma_{xxxx}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega) = 15.5 \times 10^{-36} \text{ esu}$   
 $\gamma_g(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega) = 3.4 \times 10^{-36} \text{ esu}$  ( $\omega: 0.65 \text{ eV}/\hbar$ )
- ONLY CONTRIBUTIONS:  $g \rightarrow ^1B_u \rightarrow ^1A_g \rightarrow ^1B_u \rightarrow g$
- $^1A_g$  STATES: THOSE WITH LARGEST  $\mu_{nn'}$  WITH DOMINANT  $^1B_u$  STATE
- 2 PHOTON  $^1A_g$  STATES CRITICAL IN THIRD ORDER PROCESSES

C-6



DC INDUCED SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION AND  $\gamma_g$ 

$$\gamma_g(-2\omega, \omega, \omega, 0) = \frac{1}{5} \left[ \sum_i \gamma_{iiii} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j} (\gamma_{iiij} + \gamma_{ijij} + \gamma_{ijji}) \right] + \frac{1}{5} \frac{\mu}{kT} \beta_x(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)$$

● SECOND ORDER CONTRIBUTION  $\beta_x$  VANISHES BY SYMMETRY

$\gamma_g$  VALUES (IN UNIT OF  $10^{-36}$  esu) AT 1.787 eV:

|                               | $\gamma_g$ (THEORY) | $\gamma_g$ (EXPERIMENT) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| BUTADIENE (N=4)               | 3.6                 | $3.45 \pm 0.2$          |
| HEXATRIENE (N=6)              | 13.9                | $11.3 \pm 1.05$         |
| (60% $\uparrow$ - 40% $\xi$ ) | (12.9)              |                         |

● CALCULATED  $\gamma_g$  AGREES WITH EXPERIMENT BOTH FOR THE MAGNITUDE AND SIGN

# MICROSCOPIC ELECTRONIC ORIGIN OF $\gamma(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega)$

$$\chi_{ijkl}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega) = \frac{e^4}{4\hbar^3} \sum_{m_1 m_2 m_3} \frac{\langle g | r_i | m_3 \rangle \langle m_3 | r_j | m_2 \rangle \langle m_2 | r_k | m_1 \rangle \langle m_1 | r_l | g \rangle}{(\omega_{m_1 g} - \omega)(\omega_{m_2 g} - 2\omega)(\omega_{m_3 g} - 3\omega)} + \dots$$

## • TWO IMPORTANT TYPES OF CONTRIBUTING TERMS

-----  $6^1A_g$




• INTERMEDIATE STATE  $m_2$  IS THE GROUND STATE ITSELF

• NUMERATOR IS POSITIVE

•  $(\omega_{gg} - 2\omega)$  TERM IN DENOMINATOR IS NEGATIVE

• NEGATIVE CONTRIBUTION TO  $\gamma$



• INTERMEDIATE STATE  $m_2$  IS THE UPPER  $6^1A_g$  STATE

• NUMERATOR IS POSITIVE

•  $(\omega_{m_2 g} - 2\omega)$  TERM IN DENOMINATOR IS POSITIVE

• POSITIVE CONTRIBUTION TO  $\gamma$

Q.E.D.




# TRANSITION DENSITY MATRIX DIAGRAMS

| SYMMETRY | ENERGY<br>(eV) | $\mu_{nn'}(D)$ |
|----------|----------------|----------------|
| $6'A_g$  | 7.16           | (13.2)         |
| $1'B_u$  | 4.42           | 7.6            |
| $2'A_g$  | 4.15           | (2.8)          |
| $1'A_g$  |                |                |



OT

- $\langle \mu_{nn'} \rangle = \dots \int r \rho_{nn'}(r) dr$
- CORRELATION OCCURS ACROSS ENTIRE CHAIN LENGTH
- $\mu_{1'B_u, 6'A_g} \gg \mu_{2'A_g, 1'B_u}$  : CHARGE SEPARATION IS LARGEST IN  $\rho_{1'B_u, 6'A_g}$



# DEPENDENCE OF $\gamma(-3\omega;\omega,\omega,\omega)$ ON NUMBER OF SITES


- FINITE CHAIN LIMIT: POWER LAW DEPENDENCE

$$\gamma_{xxxx} \propto N^{5.4}$$

- DECREASED EXCITATION ENERGY
- INCREASED TRANSITION MOMENTS
- INCREASED NUMBER OF CONTRIBUTING EXCITED STATE TERMS

- POLYMER CHAINS:  $\chi^{(3)} \sim 10^{-9}$  esu


- 50 SITES (60 Å)






# INCOMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF ELECTRON CORRELATION

- SCI ONLY; OTHERWISE IDENTICAL TO FULL SDCI
- NEGATIVE SIGN FOR  $\gamma_{xxxx}$  FOR ALL CHAIN LENGTHS
- SCI POWER LAW EXPONENT IS 3.9 AS COMPARED TO 5.4 OF SDCI



# MICROSCOPIC ORIGIN OF $\gamma(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega)$ FOR


## cis - POLYENES



cis - OCTATETRAENE (cis-OT)

- $\gamma_{xxxx}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega) = 7.7 \times 10^{-36} \text{ esu}$  ( $\omega: 0.65 \text{ eV}/\hbar$ )
- $\gamma_g(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega) = 1.8 \times 10^{-36} \text{ esu}$

- ONLY CONTRIBUTIONS:  $g \rightarrow ^1B_u \rightarrow ^1A_g \rightarrow ^1B_u \rightarrow g$
- $^1A_g$  STATES: THOSE WITH LARGEST  $\mu_{nn'}$  WITH DOMINANT  $^1B_u$  STATE
- 2 PHOTON  $^1A_g$  STATES CRITICAL IN TUNING ORDER PROCESSES




# DEPENDENCE OF $\gamma(-3\omega;\omega,\omega)$ ON NUMBER OF SITES

- FINITE CHAIN LIMIT: POWER LAW DEPENDENCE

$$\gamma_{xxxx} \propto N^{4.7}$$


- DECREASED EXCITATION ENERGY
- INCREASED TRANSITION MOMENTS
- INCREASED NUMBER OF CONTRIBUTING EXCITED STATE TERMS




## TRANSITION DENSITY MATRIX DIAGRAMS

$$\rho_{g,1'B_u}$$

$$\mu_{g,1'B_u} = 7.90$$




$$\mu_{g,1'B_u} = 7.80$$




$$\rho_{1'B_u,6'A_g}$$

$$\mu_{1'B_u,6'A_g} = 12.00$$




$$\mu_{1'B_u,6'A_g} = 13.20$$



- CHARGE REDISTRIBUTION ESSENTIALLY THE SAME FOR cis AND trans CONFORMATIONS

DEPENDENCE OF  $\gamma(-3\omega;\omega,\omega)$  ON NUMBER OF SITES




• FINITE CHAIN LIMIT: POWER LAW DEPENDENCE

$$\gamma_{RXXX} \propto N^{4.7}$$

- DECREASED EXCITATION ENERGY
- INCREASED TRANSITION MOMENTS
- INCREASED NUMBER OF CONTRIBUTING EXCITED STATE TERMS


# DEPENDENCE OF $\gamma(-3\omega; \omega, \omega)$ ON CHAIN LENGTH



- FINITE CHAIN LIMIT :  $\gamma_{xxxx} \propto L^{4.6}$
- $\gamma_{xxxx}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega)$  FOR cis IS SMALLER THAN THAT FOR trans OF SAME NUMBER OF SITES
- DIFFERENCE IN  $\gamma_{xxxx}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega)$  IS ACCOUNTED FOR BY DIFFERENCE IN LENGTH IN X-DIRECTION
- $\gamma_{xxxx}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega)$  MUCH MORE SENSITIVE TO CHAIN LENGTH THAN CONFORMATION


# C-17

## ELECTRON CORRELATED STATES: ORIGIN OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR OPTICAL SUSCEPTIBILITY OF CONJUGATED CYCLIC CHAINS






# C-18

DCNQI



| SYMMETRY $C_{2v}$ | ENERGY<br>(eV) | $\mu_{nq}^x$<br>(D) | $\Delta\mu_n^x$<br>(D) |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| $\bullet 3A_1$    | 3.92           | 3.1                 | -6.8                   |
| $1B_2$            | 3.78           | 0.0                 | -3.1                   |
| $\bullet 2A_1$    | 2.31           | 9.0                 | -4.1                   |
| $1A_1$            |                |                     |                        |



| DCNQI                             | Experimental   | SDCI  | SCI   |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| $\mu_x$ (Debye)                   | $17.5 \pm 0.8$ | 17    | 18.4  |
| $\beta_x$ ( $10^{-30}$ esu)       | $-27 \pm 3$    | -19.7 | -73.2 |
| $\mu_x \beta_x$ ( $10^{-48}$ esu) | $-474 \pm 52$  | -335  | -1347 |

Comparison of Experimental and SDCI,SCI Values of Dipole Moment and the Second Order Microscopic Susceptibility at 0.65 eV.


|                    | SDCI | SCI |
|--------------------|------|-----|
| $\beta_{xxx}^{nn}$ | 44%  | 85% |
| $\beta_{xxx}^{no}$ | 56%  | 15% |

The percentage Contributions of Diagonal Term and Off-diagonal Term.






# C-19

MPC



| SYMMETRY $C_s$ | ENERGY (eV) | $\mu_{ng}^x$ (D) | $\Delta\mu_{ng}^x$ (D) |
|----------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------|
| 5A'            | 3.89        | 4.7              | -8.3                   |
| 1A'            | 3.69        | 0                | -20.0                  |
| 4A'            | 3.54        | 0.4              | -13.8                  |
| 3A'            | 2.42        | 0.1              | -14.1                  |
| 2A'            | 1.92        | 12.0             | -4.4                   |
| 1A'            |             |                  |                        |



| MPC                               | Experimental    | SDCI   | SCI    |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| $\mu_x$ (Debye)                   | $17.4 \pm 2.5$  | 21.4   | 25.1   |
| $\beta_x$ ( $10^{-30}$ esu)       | $-123 \pm 22$   | -104.7 | -238.4 |
| $\mu_x \beta_x$ ( $10^{-48}$ esu) | $-2140 \pm 390$ | -2240  | -5933  |


Comparison of Experimental and SDCI,SCI Values of Dipole Moment and the Second Order Microscopic Susceptibility at 0.65 eV.

|                         | SDCI  | SCI   |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| $\beta_{diag}^{(2)}$    | 55.5% | 86.4% |
| $\beta_{offdiag}^{(2)}$ | 44.5% | 13.6% |

The percentage Contributions of Diagonal Term and Off-diagonal Term.

# C-20



DPHQ



SYMMETRY  $C_{2v}$

•  $3A_1$  ———  
 $1B_2$  ———  
 •  $2A_1$  ———  
 $1A_1$  ———

| ENERGY<br>(eV) | $\mu_{ng}^x$<br>(D) | $\Delta\mu_n^x$<br>(D) |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 3.41           | 4.2                 | -8.6                   |
| 3.18           | 0                   | -9.4                   |
| 1.54           | 14.4                | -7.7                   |



| DPHQ                                   | SDCI   | SCI    |
|----------------------------------------|--------|--------|
| $\mu_x$ (Debye)                        | 25.4   | 30.5   |
| $\beta_x (10^{-30} \text{ esu})$       | -1410  | -626   |
| $\mu_x \beta_x (10^{-48} \text{ esu})$ | -35800 | -19100 |

Comparison of Experimental and SDCI,SCI Values of Dipole Moment and the Second Order Microscopic Susceptibility at 0.65 eV.




|                    | SDCI | SCI |
|--------------------|------|-----|
| $\beta_{xx}^{(H)}$ | 72%  | 85% |
| $\beta_{yy}^{(H)}$ | 28%  | 15% |


The percentag , Contributions of Diagonal Term and Off-diagonal Term.

# C-21

## ELECTRON DENSITY CORRELATION DIAGRAMS

$$\langle \Delta \mu_n \rangle = e \int r (\rho_n - \rho_g) dr$$





## Orientational Order

$$\chi_{111}^{(2)} = N \frac{\beta_{xxx} \mu_x E}{k_B T} f^{2\omega} (f^\omega)^2 \left( \frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{7} \langle P_2 \rangle + \frac{8}{35} \langle P_4 \rangle \right)$$

| CLASS         | SYSTEM             | $\mu_x \beta_x$<br>( $10^{-30} \text{cm}^5 \text{Desu}^{-1}$ ) | $d_{11}(10^{-9} \text{esu})$<br>( $\lambda = 1.907 \mu\text{m}$ ) | $r_{11}(10^{-12} \text{m/V})$<br>( $\lambda = 0.633 \mu\text{m}$ ) |
|---------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| RESONANT RING | MNA                | 66                                                             | 0.8                                                               | 0.3                                                                |
|               | AZO DYE            | 530*                                                           | 6*                                                                | 2.5                                                                |
|               | MNA SINGLE CRYSTAL |                                                                | 230                                                               | 67                                                                 |
| LINEAR CHAIN  | NMDVDA             | 200                                                            | 2.3                                                               | 0.9                                                                |
| CYCLIC CHAIN  | MPC                | 2100                                                           | 24                                                                | 10                                                                 |
|               | DCNQI              | 470                                                            | 5.3                                                               | 2.2                                                                |
|               | DPHQ               | 36000                                                          | 410                                                               | 170                                                                |

\*Measured at  $\lambda = 1.58 \mu\text{m}$ 

## RELATED REFERENCES


1. Third harmonic generation study of orientational order in nematic liquid crystals, Phys. Rev. **A34**, 5051 (1986).
2. Microscopic origin of second order nonlinear optical properties of organic structures, in Nonlinear Optical and Electroactive Polymers (Plenum, New York, 1988).
3. Recent developments in microscopic descriptions of the nonlinear optical properties of organic and polymer structures, in Proceedings SPIE 825 (1988).
4. Nonlinear optical properties of linear chains and electron correlation effects, Phys. Rev. B - Rapid Communications (in press).
5. Symmetry controlled electron correlation mechanism for third order nonlinear optical properties of conjugated linear chains, in Electroresponsive Polymers, Special Issue, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. (1988).
6. Nonlinear optical properties of polyenes: Electron correlation and chain conformation, in Nonlinear Optical Properties of Polymers (Materials Research Society, Boston, 1988) **109**, p. 91.

**D-1**


S. Etemad  
Bell Communications

CONJUGATED POLYMERS  
AND  
NONLINEAR OPTICS


## D-2



(a)



(b)




trans-(CH)<sub>x</sub>


$$t_{\parallel} = 2.5 - 3.0 \text{ eV}$$

$$2\Delta = 4t_{\perp} \approx 1.5 \text{ eV} \quad \text{c.f. d-Si}$$



$$t_{\perp} \approx .05 \text{ eV}$$



TRANS-(CH)<sub>x</sub>




### REVERSED CHARGE-SPIN



# D-3


## CHEMISTRY VIEW



HIGHLY CORRELATED STATES

(MANY BODY!)


CASE STUDY: FINITE POLYMERS



$n$  IS CONJUGATION LENGTH


## COULOMB INTERACTIONS

### PERTURBATION APPROACH



"MID-GAP" TRANSITIONS


### HIGHLY CORRELATED STATES





LOWEST ENERGY TRANSITIONS

S° ABSORPTION AT BAND GAP

# D-4




## EFFECT OF COMPENSATION WITH $NH_3$




## LONG TIME BEHAVIOR

MOL. CRYST. LIQ. CRYST. 117, 275.



A. 1.1. B. 1.1. C. 1.1.



PRB 30, 786 (84)



## D-5

$S^0$  transition on the other hand

$I_2 \rightarrow 2$

TIME-DEPENDENT

IONIC GAP  
 $1B \rightarrow 3B$   
 $HOMO \rightarrow LUMO$

$S^+$




$S^0$

COVALENT GAP

— FILLED  
 — EMPTY

WHERE IS THE  $2A_g$  (COVALENT GAP)  
 WITH RESPECT TO  $1B_u$  (IONIC GAP)

### THIRD HARMONIC GENERATION



FILM: COMPENSATED  $(CH)_x$  Fig. 1  
 700 Å THICK; UNIFORM


$$\chi^{(3)}(3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega) = \sum_{nmg} \Omega_{gn} \Omega_{nm} \Omega_{mn} \Omega_{n'g}$$

$$\left[ \frac{1}{(E_{ng} - 3\omega)(E_{mg} - 2\omega)(E_{n'g} - \omega)} + \frac{1}{(E_{ng} + \omega)(E_{mg} - 2\omega)(E_{n'g} - \omega)} + \frac{1}{(E_{ng} + \omega)(E_{mg} + 2\omega)(E_{n'g} - \omega)} + \frac{1}{(E_{ng} + 3\omega)(E_{mg} - 2\omega)(E_{n'g} + \omega)} \right]$$


TRANSITIONS BETWEEN ALL STATES  
 IRRESPECTIVE OF SYMMETRY

# D-6

$\chi^3$  OF  $(CH)_x$  : CALIBRATION




SUBSTRATE ONLY



$(CH)_x$  PLUS SUBSTRATE


$$l_c = \frac{\lambda \omega}{6(n_\omega - n_{3\omega})}$$

$$I_{3\omega} \sim I_\omega^3 \cdot |\chi^3|^2 \cdot \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi \cos \theta}{2l_c}\right)$$





(3)  $\chi^3$  : VERY LARGE

$$|\chi^3|_{CS_2} \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ esu}$$



$\chi^3$  LARGE




$2R_g$  STATE IS CLOSE TO  $1B_u$  STATE?!


# FREE ELECTRON LASER (FEL)

INTENSE TUNABLE IR RADIATION  
1 → 100 KW IN 2-10 μm RANGE

STANFORD → DUKE





• PARTICLE IN A BOX  
• DIMERIZATION  
• IONIC & COVALENT STATES



(120 CALCULATION)




W. K. Wu



$$\epsilon_{EL} = 1.1 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{eV} / \text{injected charge}$$

ENHANCED BY  $\sim \frac{1}{\alpha}$

# DOPING & SOLITON FORMATION



NO SPIN.


## SIGNATURES OF SOLITON

### DOPING

APPEARANCE OF ABSORPTION BAND  
NEAR MID GAP

APPEARANCE OF ENHANCED IR ACTIVE  
LOCAL MODES

NO INCREASE IN  $\chi$



Chen, et al. PRB 29, 1341 (1984)

# D-9

SYNOPSIS


PHOTOEXCITATION

IN POLYACETYLENE




Su & Schrieffer, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA  
77, 5626 (1980)

SPEED OF RESPONSE (RESONANCE)

$t > 10^{-2}$  sec.




ELECTRONICALLY ENHANCED IR MODES  
 $\rightarrow$  SELF-TRAPPED CHARGE IN COW






# D-10

SU & SCHRIEFFER, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 77, 5626 (80)



$$E_{ph} > E_{2S^2} \quad (E_s = \frac{2A}{\pi})$$



$$\tau \sim 1/\omega_{ph} \quad (10^{-13} \text{ sec})$$







< 0.1 ps RISE TIME

~ 0.5 ps LIFETIME

POWER DECAY (10 DIFF. ?)



# D-11





MAGNITUDE OF  $X^{(3)}$

\* OFF RESONANCE TRANSPARENT LAM


\* POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS

SHIRAKAWA  $(CH)_X$



DURHAM  $(CH)_X$

100% ALIGNMENT  
100% SPACE FILLING  
MACROSCOPIC DOMAINS



WINNER'S  $(CH)_X$

## D-12

- \* INTRINSIC ABSORPTION IS SMALL  $\sim 1-3\mu$
- \* SCATTERING LOSS IS MORPHOLOGY DEPENDENT





FIGURE OF MERIT

$$x^3 \propto \chi^3 / \alpha_{\text{TOTAL}}$$



FOR  $n$  LARGE DIFFERENTIAL  $\Delta n$  IS NOT LARGE

$$\alpha_{\text{TOTAL}} = \alpha_{\text{ABS}} + \alpha_{\text{SCATTERING}}$$

→ PROCESSABILITY (1  $\mu\text{m}$  - 1  $\mu\text{m}$  waveguides!  
EASE OF FABRICATION)




A. J. Heeger

University of California at Santa Barbara

**ANISOTROPY OF THE THIRD ORDER  
NONLINEAR OPTICAL SUSCEPTIBILITY  
IN CONJUGATED POLYMERS**

"Instantons" as the source of  
Nonlinear Optical Properties  
of Polyacetylene


Nonlinear Optics




E-2




sensitivity ~  $\omega$

Acknowledgement:  
 M. Sinclair  
 D. Moses  
 W.-P. Su





Broken symmetry with 2-fold  
degenerate ground state




Bond-alternation domain wall or "Soliton"

a "particle" consisting of  
structural distortion


localized non-bonding electronic state

$$M_1 \sim 5 m_e$$

trans - (CH)<sub>x</sub> : two-fold degenerate ground state



+46




-46

Quantum (zero point) fluctuations  
are important!

E-3

"Instantons" as the source of  $\chi_n^{(3)}$  in  $T_{\text{trans}} - (CH)_x$



Order parameter  $Q = \pm (-1)^n u_n = \pm u_0$  (classical)

Classical:



Quantum:



Instanton = nonlinear zero-point fluctuations

Instantons in polyacetylene

The effect of nonlinear ground state fluctuations on the photoproduction of charged solitons


Creation energy for soliton-antisoliton pair

$$\underline{2E_s = \frac{2}{\pi} E_g < E_g}$$

**E.4**


Can one get direct photogeneration of solitons for  $\hbar\omega < E_g$ ?

Yes --- with help from ground state fluctuations!!




$$\psi_i, \psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_n$$

$$\phi(x=na) = \phi_0 [1 - \tanh(2s/\xi)] [\tanh(x-s/\xi) - \tanh(x+s/\xi)]$$



E-5



$$U(s) = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2 - V(s)$$





of solve

$$U, \dot{x} = \dot{\phi}$$

integrated forward  
unstable

# E-6


For each  $s$  ---- gap states appear



$$\Delta E = \frac{4}{\pi} \Delta + \hbar \omega_s / \omega$$

$$E_g = 2\Delta \approx 1.8 - 1.9 \text{ eV}$$

$$\frac{4}{\pi} \Delta \approx 1.15 \text{ eV (threshold)}$$




The connection to resonant

nonlinear optical properties


# E-7

## Soliton Photogeneration & Confinement in $(CH)_x$

Dynamical calculations (Su & Schrieffer) show that after photogeneration of e-h pair, lattice distortion forms in very short time ( $\sim 10^{-13}$  sec) leading to photogenerated  $S^+$  and  $S^-$  pairs




### Trans- $(CH)_x$



Two states are equivalent ---  $S^+$  and  $S^-$  "free"


Higher energy region

### cis- $(CH)_x$



$S^+$  and  $S^-$  are "confined"

### Photogeneration in $(CH)_x$



Sum Rule:


$$\int_{\omega} \left[ \frac{\epsilon(\omega)}{\omega} \right] d\omega = \int_{\omega} \left[ \frac{\epsilon(\omega)}{\omega} \right] d\omega \Rightarrow \chi^{(3)} \approx 5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ esu} \quad (\text{resonant})$$

Nonlinear Optics!

Index of refraction is different after absorption of 1<sup>st</sup> photon



Resonant ALC process

Vardeny, Street, Moses, Chung & AJH  
PRL (1993)



# E-8

Rubbing, Ettemat et al



We have seen how solitons lead directly to resonant NLO


What about non-resonant NLO?

(i.e. for  $\hbar\omega < \frac{1}{2}E_g$  and  $\omega_1, \omega_2 < \frac{1}{2}E_g$ )

Yes ---- Instantons

THIRD HARMONIC GENERATION IN ORIENTED  $\frac{1}{2}$ -(CH).


$$P(3\omega) \propto \chi_{11}^{(3)} E_{11}^3 = \chi_{11}^{(3)} E^3 \cos^3 \theta$$



Alignment Direction

$\chi_{11}^{(3)}(3\omega, \omega, \omega) = 14 \pm 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ esu}$

$\theta$  Polarization direction



711 nonlinearity associated with  
-Electrons delocalized along  
molecular backbone



# E-9

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} = N_0 \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} | \alpha \rangle$$

Third order perturbation theory on the excited states of the s-configuration yields

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} = N_0 \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} | \alpha \rangle \times \left[ \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)} + \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)} \right]$$

where  $E_{\alpha} = E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha}$ .

$E_{\alpha}$  is the ground state energy


$E_{\alpha}(\alpha, n, m)$  are the energies of excited states of the s-configurations

$f_{\alpha\beta}$  are the dipole matrix elements

$N_0 = n_0 \rho_c$  where  $n_0 = (L/2\pi)^2$  is the phase space for soliton pairs on a chain of  $s$  and  $\rho_c$  is the density of chains per unit area.

In the sum,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  denote excited states with symmetry  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  is an excited state with the same symmetry as  $\alpha$ .

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} = N_0 \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} | \alpha \rangle$$



$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} = N_0 \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} | \alpha \rangle$

To estimate the magnitude of  $\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)}$  we consider the term in the full sum

where the matrix elements go from  $\alpha = E_{\alpha}(s) \rightarrow \beta = E_{\beta}(s) - \omega$ ; i.e. we

ignore the various vibrational states of the s-configuration and consider only

this single continuum ( $\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)}|_{\alpha}$ ):

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)}|_{\alpha} = N_0 \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} | \alpha \rangle \times \left[ \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)} + \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)} \right]$$

For  $3\omega \ll E_{\alpha}$

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)}|_{\alpha} \approx -4N_0 \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} | \alpha \rangle \times \left[ \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)} + \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)} \right]$$

where  $f_{\alpha}$  is the matrix element between the ground state with wave function  $\phi_0(s)$  and the excited state with energy  $E_{\alpha}$  relative to the ground state

Since


$$\alpha = N_0 \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} | \alpha \rangle$$

is the linear term in the polarizability,


$$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)}|_{\alpha} = -(4\alpha) \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \chi_{\alpha}^{(2)} | \alpha \rangle \times \left[ \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)} + \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)} \right]$$

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)}|_{\alpha} = (E_{\alpha}^2 / \alpha) (N_0 \rho_c) \times \left[ \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)} + \frac{1}{(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - \omega)(E_{\alpha} - E_{\alpha} - 3\omega)} \right]$$

$$\chi_{\alpha}^{(2)}|_{\alpha} = 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ esu}$$




# E-10




Kaizer, Shemad, Messier & Baker  
 Synth. Met. 17, 563 (1987)

Not the ground-state eigenstates


Not equivalent



A phase




B phase




Symmetry Selection Origin of  $\chi^{(3)}$  Comparative

Measurements of  $\chi^{(3)}$  in Cis and trans-(CH)<sub>x</sub>



TRANS





CIS

$$\text{Result: } \chi_{130}^{(3)} / \chi_{130}^{(3)} < \frac{1}{16} \chi_{130}^{(3)} / \chi_{130}^{(3)}$$

$$\text{Symmetry selection: } \chi^{(3)} = (15-20) \chi^{(3)}$$

# E-11



## CONCLUSIONS

- 1) Large  $\chi_{(3)}^{(3)}$  are a general feature of conjugated polymers
- 2) Nonlinearity is associated with the  $\pi$ -electrons
- 3) At 1.06  $\mu\text{m}$ :  $\chi_{(3)}^{(3)}(\text{trans-CH}_2) \approx \chi_{(3)}^{(3)}(\text{cis-CH}_2)$   
 At longer wavelengths  $\chi_{(3)}^{(3)}(\text{trans-CH}_2) > \chi_{(3)}^{(3)}(\text{cis-CH}_2)$   
 is certainly larger by considerable factor

- 4) For polyacetylene  $\chi_{(3)}^{(3)} > 16 \frac{\chi_{(3)}^{(3)}}{\text{esu}}$
- 5) Nonlinearity from rigid bond structure is not dominant contribution for common common  $\chi_{(3)}^{(3)} - \chi_{(3)}^{(3)}$  in  $30^\circ - 22^\circ$

## Questions:

- 1) Why is  $\text{trans} - (\text{CH})_k$  special?
- 2) How to correct measurement  $\chi_{(3)}^{(3)}$  to know relevant properties?

**F-1**

K. D. Singer

AT&T

NONLINEAR OPTICS IN  
ORDERED MOLECULAR SYSTEMS

## F-2

### NONLINEAR OPTICS IN ORDERED MOLECULAR SYSTEMS

K.D. SINGER and M.G. KUZYK  
AT&T Engineering Research Center, Princeton, NJ

#### OUTLINE

R.B. COMIZZOLI

D.L. FISH

W.R. HOLLAND

H.E. KATZ

L.A. KING

M.L. SCHILLING

J.E. SOHN

- NONLINEAR OPTICS IN MOLECULAR ENSEMBLES
- FILMS POLED UNDER UNIAXIAL STRESS
- NEW POLYMERS
  - MATERIALS
  - CORONA POLING
  - NONLINEAR OPTICAL PROPERTIES
  - MOLECULAR ORIENTATION DISTRIBUTION

#### MOLECULAR MATERIALS

- MACROSCOPIC POLARIZATION

$$P_i(t) = \chi_i^{(0)} + \chi_i^{(1)}(t)E_j(t) + \chi_{ijk}^{(2)}(t)E_j(t)E_k(t) + \chi_{ijkl}^{(3)}(t)E_j(t)E_k(t)E_l(t) + \dots$$

- MICROSCOPIC POLARIZATION

$$p_I(t) = \mu_I^{(0)} + \alpha_{IJ}(t)F_J(t) + \beta_{IJK}(t)F_J(t)F_K(t) + \gamma_{IJKL}(t)F_J(t)F_K(t)F_L(t) + \dots$$

- VAN DER WAALS MATERIALS

$$P_i(t) = \frac{1}{V} \langle p_i(t) \rangle_i$$

# F-3

## MATERIAL CLASSES



Crystal




Langmuir-Blodgett



Smectic LC



Nematic LC



Orientalational Order



Liquid

## MOLECULAR ORDER

- MOLECULES FIXED IN LATTICE


$$\chi_{ijkl...}^{(n)} = N_u \sum_{I,J,K,L...} \sum_{s=1}^n a_{iI}(s) a_{jJ}(s) a_{kK}(s) \cdots \xi_{IJKL...}(s)$$

- THERMODYNAMIC ENSEMBLE

## EULER ANGLES

$$\chi_{ijkl...}^{(n)} = N \langle \xi_{IJKL...} \rangle_{ijkl...}$$

$$\langle \xi_{IJKL...} \rangle_{ijkl...} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\psi \xi_{IJKL...} a_{iI} a_{jJ} a_{kK} \cdots G(\phi, \theta, \psi)$$



# F-4

## SYMMETRY CLASSES

$\chi^{(2)}$  components for point group  $\infty mm$

|   | 1                  | 2                  | 3                  |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 0                  | 0                  | $\chi_{111}^{(2)}$ |
| 2 | 0                  | 0                  | $\chi_{111}^{(2)}$ |
| 3 | 0                  | 0                  | $\chi_{111}^{(2)}$ |
| 4 | 0                  | $\chi_{111}^{(2)}$ | 0                  |
| 5 | $\chi_{111}^{(2)}$ | 0                  | 0                  |
| 6 | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  |

$\chi^{(3)}$  components for point group  $\infty/mmm$


|   | 1                  | 2                  | 3                  | 4                  | 5                  | 6                                        |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1 | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | 0                  | 0                  | 0                                        |
| 2 | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | 0                  | 0                  | 0                                        |
| 3 | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | 0                  | 0                  | 0                                        |
| 4 | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | 0                  | 0                                        |
| 5 | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | $\chi_{111}^{(3)}$ | 0                                        |
| 6 | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | 0                  | $2(\chi_{111}^{(3)} - \chi_{111}^{(3)})$ |

Row and column labels: 1=11; 2=22; 3=33; 4=23,32; 5=31,13; 6=12,21

## DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

$$G(\theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2l+1)}{2} A_l P_l(\cos\theta)$$

## LEGENDRE POLYNOMIALS



## ELECTRIC FIELD POLING

### SUSCEPTIBILITIES

$$\chi_{ijkl}^{(2n)} = \sum_{m=0}^n u_{ijkl}^{(2n-1)} \langle P_{2n-1} \rangle$$

$$\chi_{ijkl}^{(2n-1)} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} u_{ijkl}^{(2n-1)} \langle P_{2m} \rangle$$

#### • SECOND ORDER

$$\chi_{333}^{(2)} = N B_{333} \left( \frac{3}{5} \langle P_1 \rangle - \frac{2}{5} \langle P_3 \rangle \right)$$


$$\chi_{113}^{(2)} = \chi_{131}^{(2)} = \chi_{311}^{(2)} = N B_{113} \left( \frac{1}{5} \langle P_1 \rangle - \frac{1}{5} \langle P_3 \rangle \right)$$

#### • THIRD ORDER


$$\chi_{3333}^{(3)} = N \gamma_{3333} \left( \frac{1}{5} - \frac{4}{7} \langle P_2 \rangle - \frac{8}{35} \langle P_4 \rangle \right)$$




heat



heat  
E-field on




cool



E-field off

## F-5

### POLED MATERIALS



- SECOND HARMONIC TENSOR PROPERTIES

- MOLECULAR DISTRIBUTION

$$G_u(\Omega, E_p) = \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{1}{kT}(\sum_v U_{uv} - \mathbf{m}_u^* \cdot \mathbf{E}_p)\right]}{\int d\Omega \exp\left[-\frac{1}{kT}(\sum_v U_{uv} - \mathbf{m}_u^* \cdot \mathbf{E}_p)\right]}$$

$$\chi_{ijk}^{(2)} = v_{ijk}^{(0)} \langle P_0 \rangle + v_{ijk}^{(2)} \langle P_2 \rangle + v_{ijk}^{(4)} \langle P_4 \rangle$$

$$P_1^{(2)}(2\omega) = 2d_{31}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)E_1(\omega)E_3(\omega)$$

$$P_2^{(2)}(2\omega) = 2d_{31}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)E_2(\omega)E_3(\omega)$$

$$P_3^{(2)}(2\omega) = d_{31}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega) \left[ E_1^2(\omega) + E_2^2(\omega) \right] + d_{33}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega)E_3^2(\omega)$$

### EXPERIMENTAL GEOMETRY

### SECOND HARMONIC INTENSITY

$$P_T = \frac{512\pi^3}{A} |d_{33}|^2 t_i^4 t_o^4 T_{2\omega}^2 P^2(\theta) I_\omega^2 \left[ \frac{1}{n_\omega^2 - n_{2\omega}^2} \right]^2 \sin^2 \psi$$


- P-POLARIZED INCIDENT LIGHT

$$p(\theta) = (a \cos^2 \theta_\omega + \sin^2 \theta_\omega) \sin \theta_{2\omega} + 2a \cos \theta_\omega \sin \theta_\omega \cos \theta_{2\omega}$$

- S-POLARIZED INCIDENT LIGHT

$$p(\theta) = a \sin(\theta_{2\omega})$$

$$a = \frac{d_{31}}{d_{33}}$$





## F-6

### ORDERING ENERGY

$$G_u(\Omega) = \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{U}{kT}\right]}{\int d\Omega \exp\left[-\frac{U}{kT}\right]}$$

#### • ELECTRIC FIELD POLING

$$U_E = m^* E_p$$


$$\chi_{333}^{(2)} = N\beta_{333}^* \frac{m^* E_p}{kT} \left( \frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{7} \langle P_2 \rangle + \frac{8}{35} \langle P_4 \rangle \right)$$

$$\chi_{113}^{(2)} = \chi_{131}^{(2)} = \chi_{311}^{(2)} = N\beta_{113}^* \frac{m^* E_p}{kT} \left( \frac{1}{15} + \frac{1}{21} \langle P_2 \rangle - \frac{4}{35} \langle P_4 \rangle \right)$$

#### • UNIAXIAL STRESS

$$U_T = U_P + U_E = b P_2(\cos\theta) - m^* E_p P_1(\cos\theta)$$

### ORDERING ENERGY




..... STRESS  
 ----- POLING  
 \_\_\_\_\_ TOTAL

### STRESS POTENTIAL

$$\frac{\exp\left[-\frac{(U_P - U_E)}{kT}\right]}{\left(\frac{m^* E}{kT}\right)} = a_1 \left(\frac{b}{kT}\right) P_1(\cos\theta) + a_3 \left(\frac{b}{kT}\right) P_3(\cos\theta)$$

$$\frac{\langle P_3 \rangle}{\langle P_1 \rangle} = \frac{3}{5} \frac{a_3}{a_1}$$

$b$  = magnitude of stress potential



### DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

$$\langle P_1 \rangle = \frac{2}{3} \frac{m^* E_p}{kT} \frac{a_1}{A_n}$$

$$\frac{\langle P_3 \rangle}{\langle P_1 \rangle} = \frac{1-3a}{1+2a}$$

$$\langle P_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\langle P_1 \rangle}{\left[ \frac{m^* E_p}{3kT} \right]} - 1 \right)$$

$$\langle P_4 \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\langle P_3 \rangle}{\left[ \frac{m^* E_p}{7kT} \right]} - 3 \langle P_2 \rangle \right)$$

## F-7

### FILMS POLED UNDER STRESS

- DISPERSE RED 1 DYE DISSOLVED IN PMMA

| Film # | Dye Number Density<br>$N (10^{20}/cm^3)$ | Thickness<br>$t (\mu m)$ | Poling Field<br>$E_p (MV/cm)$ | Stress<br>$\sigma (dyne/cm^2 \times 10^7)$ |
|--------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| 1      | 2.42                                     | 4.0                      | 0.60                          | 0.00                                       |
| 2      | 1.08                                     | 4.9                      | 0.25                          | 3.71                                       |


- RESULTS

| Film # | $a$  | $\frac{\mu^* E_p}{kT}$ | $\frac{b}{kT}$ | $\langle P_1 \rangle$ | $\langle P_2 \rangle$ | $\langle P_3 \rangle$ | $\langle P_4 \rangle$ | $\Delta n$ |
|--------|------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| 1      | 0.33 | 0.50                   | 0.00           | 0.16                  | -0.020                | 0.00                  | 0.015                 | 0.0014     |
| 2      | 0.7  | 0.20                   | 1.2            | 0.041                 | -0.20                 | -0.019                | -0.02                 | -0.0043    |

## NEW MATERIALS

### DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

$$r \propto d \propto N \beta \mu E_p$$



- MOLECULES
- CORONA POLING
- POLYMERS

## MOLECULES




$\beta \mu$  MEASURED BY EFISH

- ISOTROPIC
- POLED
- STRESS + POLED

- DIRECT MEASUREMENT IN DIPOLAR SOLVENT, DMSO
- INFINITE DILUTION TECHNIQUE

# F-8

## RESULTS


|     | Molecule                                                                          | $\beta\mu^*$      |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| DR1 |  | 1090 <sup>†</sup> |
| DCV |  | 2850 <sup>†</sup> |
| TCV |  | 4110 <sup>‡</sup> |

\*  $\beta\mu$  in  $10^{-30} \text{ cm}^5 \text{ D/esu}$

†  $\lambda = 1.356 \mu\text{m}$

‡  $\lambda = 1.58 \mu\text{m}$

## CORONA POLING



- NO ELECTRODE DEPOSITION
- HIGHER POLING FIELD

**G-1**

H. Nakanishi

Research Institute  
for Polymers and Textiles, Japan

SEVERAL SERIES OF NOVEL  
POLYDIACETYLENES FOR NONLINEAR OPTICS



**H-1**

F. Kajzar  
CEN Saclay, France

RESONANCE EFFECTS  
IN CUBIC HYPERPOLARISABILITIES  
OF CONJUGATED POLYMERS

# H-2

## RESONANCE EFFECTS IN CUBIC HYPERPOLARISABILITIES OF CONJUGATED POLYMERS

François KADJAR

Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique  
Institut de Recherche Technologique et de Développement Industriel  
Division d'Électronique, de Technologie et d'Instrumentation  
Département d'Électronique et d'Instrumentation Nucléaire  
Laboratoire de Physique Electronique des Matériaux  
CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif sur Yvette, France

- THIN FILM PREPARATION TECHNIQUES (polydiacetylenes,
- THIRD ORDER OPTICAL HYPERPOLARISABILITY DETERMINATION TECHNIQUES

Third Harmonic Generation

Electric Field Induced Second Harmonic Generation

- NONLINEAR SPECTROSCOPY IN PDA THIN FILMS

Multiphoton resonances

Two photon resonance  $\rightarrow$  Kerr susceptibility  
intensity dependent index  
of refraction

Temperature variation of cubic susceptibility

Nonlinear Optical Dichroism

- POLARISATION EFFECTS IN PDA THIN FILMS

## PDA THIN FILM PREPARATION TECHNIQUES :

- Langmuir - Blodgett technique
- Solution casting
- Dipping technique from a polymer solution
- Evaporation technique (sublimation, soltaxy)
- Shear technique (thin monocrystalline films)

Why thin films (0.003  $\mu\text{m}$  up a few tens of microns)?

- Nonlinear optical properties characterisation

$$1 \ll I_0 \quad I_0 = \lambda_p / 2(n_H - n_T) \cdot d$$

$$j = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{EFISH} \\ 3 & \text{THG} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2\omega} &\sim I_{\omega}^2 \\ I_{3\omega} &\sim I_{\omega}^3 \end{aligned} \quad \text{"phase matching"}$$

- Nonlinear spectroscopy


harmonic light within absorption range

- Integrated optics

- Thin film devices

## LANGMUIR-BLODGETT METHOD OF THIN FILM DEPOSITION

Diacetylene monomers :




P - pressure sensor MB - mobile barrier S - substrate

Transfer layer by layer of monomer film

Polymerisation of monomer film by UV, X-Ray radiation

Polymerisation of the monomer film on water subphase

Transfer of polymer monolayer  $\sim 30\text{\AA}$  thick on a substrate



Optical absorption spectra of different diacetylene polymers:

- a - yellow form (polymer solution amorphous phase)
- b - red form (stable)
- c - blue form

# H-3



Electron micrograph of a 20-μ-diacetylene monolayer




Electron diffraction patterns of a 20-μ-diacetylene monolayer

## PRINCIPAL PROPERTIES OF LB FILMS

- Centrosymmetric thin films with controllable thickness (each layer ~ 30 Å thick)
- Thickness limited to a few thousand of Angstroms
- Two-dimensional order
- Films composed from polycrystallites  
large light scattering

## SOLUTION CASTING

Soluble polymers




|       |                                            |
|-------|--------------------------------------------|
| 3-BCM | $R_1, R_2 = (CH_2)_{13}OCONHCH_2COOC_6H_5$ |
| 4-BCM | $R_1, R_2 = (CH_2)_{14}OCONHCH_2COOC_6H_5$ |
| 15-12 | $R_1, R_2 = (CH_2)_{14}OSO_2C_6H_4CH_3$    |

Thin films with thickness varying from a few thousands Angstrom to a few tens of microns

Crystallites - large light scattering

Large surface roughness - light scattering by surface

Surface light scattering effects in solution cast 4-BCM film (red form)





Tail of optical absorption spectrum of a 50 μm thick native 4-BCM film (b) and after an annealing at 400° C under vacuum and a simultaneous sealing of a silica plate on free film face (a).



# H-4

## DIPPING TECHNIQUE

from a polymer solution




Absorption spectra of a 800 Å (a) and 2750 Å (b) thick polymer films obtained by dipping technique from J-BCHU solution in  $\text{CHCl}_3$ .

## MONOMER EVAPORATION TECHNIQUE SUBLIMATION

High vacuum  $\sim 10^{-6}$  Torr

works for practically all DC monomers delicate in the case of monomers polymerising thermally like PS, PPS, DCH: yields homogeneous thin films with thickness varying from a few hundreds to a few tens of microns.




Electron micrograph of a poly-DCH  $\text{R}_2\text{R}_2\text{SCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$  thin film showing an isotropic orientation of crystallites.


Light scattering by crystallites - this can be reduced by a cooling of substrate - diminution of the crystallites size..

## EPITAXY

KBr single crysta. substrate



Electron micrograph of a bi-oriented DCH film (250 Å) evaporated on KBr single crysta. substrate



Electron diffraction of a central part of bi-oriented 250 Å thick D-DCM film

## THIRD HARMONIC GENERATION EXPERIMENTS

$$\text{In principle } \vec{E}_{2\omega}^R = \vec{E}_{2\omega}^S - \vec{E}_{2\omega}^L$$

$$\text{Often } |\vec{E}_{2\omega}^S| \gg |\vec{E}_{2\omega}^L|$$


Harmonic intensity (for a transparent film)

$$J_{2\omega} = \frac{2304\pi^2}{C} \left( \frac{n_{2\omega}}{n_{\omega}^2 - n_{2\omega}^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{n_{\omega}} \right)^2 |A|^2 J_{\omega}^2$$


does not depend on the refractive index dispersion "phase matching"

For an absorbing film at harmonic frequency


$$J_{2\omega} = \frac{2304\pi^2}{C} \left( \frac{n_{2\omega}}{n_{\omega}^2 - n_{2\omega}^2} \right)^2 J_{\omega}^2 \quad f_2 = \frac{(1 - \exp(-2\alpha_{2\omega}L)) - (\alpha_{2\omega})^2 \exp(-\alpha_{2\omega}L)}{[(n_{2\omega}^2 - (n_{\omega}^2)^2 - (\alpha_{2\omega}L)^2) - 2\alpha_{2\omega}L(n_{\omega}^2 - (\alpha_{2\omega}L)^2)]}$$



Experimental set-up for third harmonic generation measurements.



Third harmonic intensity from an .18 PVA film in function of rotation angle.



$\chi^{(3)}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, \omega)$  phase determination




FIG. 4. Third harmonic intensity in function of incidence angle for (a) silica plate and (b) silica plate - polymer film in vacuum. Points represent measured values and solid lines the calculated ones with tabulated values of refractive indices for silica.<sup>1</sup>

Karzar, Weisser, and Rosilio

J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 50, No. 3, 1 November 1986






Fig. 1. Cubic susceptibility  $\chi_{333}^{(2)}$  (esu) calculated corrected for crystal disorder, as a function of the laser fundamental wavelength. The continuous line is a semi-empirical fit as mentioned in the text.






Tensor elements contributing to  $\chi^{(3)}(-2\omega; \omega, \omega, 0)$  (EFISHG)


Tensor elements contributing to  $\chi^{(3)}(-3\omega:\omega,\omega,\omega)$  (THG)

[illegible]

J. F. Ward, *Law. Mod. Phys.*, 17, 1 (1965)

[illegible]

J. P. Ward, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 27, 1 (1955)



# H-8

Tensor elements contributing to optical Kerr susceptibility  $\chi^{(3)}(-\omega; \omega, \omega, -\omega)$

|  | Contributions to $\chi^{(3)}$<br>(from upper bands in GaAs)                                                                                             | Contributions to $\chi^{(3)}$<br>(from lower bands in GaAs)                                                                                             |
|--|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|  | $\chi_{1111}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1111}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1122}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1122}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1133}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1133}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1211}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1211}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1221}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1221}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1232}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1232}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1311}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1311}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1322}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1322}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1333}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{1333}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{2211}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{2211}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{2221}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{2221}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{2232}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{2232}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{2311}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{2311}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{2322}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{2322}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |
|  | $\chi_{2333}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ | $\chi_{2333}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{12}^2)(\epsilon_{11}^2 - \epsilon_{13}^2)}{\omega_{11}^2 - \omega^2} + \text{c.c.}$ |

conduction band  
excitonic band  
two-photon band

valence band

Band structure of the PDA multilayers.

J.F. Ward, Rev. Mod. Phys. 37,1(1965)

— two photon resonance  
- - - one photon resonance

Intensity dependent index of refraction



$$n = n_0 + n_2 I$$

$$n_2 = 12\pi^2 \chi^{(3)}(-\omega; \omega, \omega, -\omega) / n_0^3 c$$

At two photon resonance -  $\chi^{(3)}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, -\omega)$  complex

active optical bistability

Temperature variation of  $\chi^{(3)}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, -\omega)$



Constancy of  $\chi^{(3)}(-3\omega; \omega, \omega, -\omega)$  vs temperature - excitonic origin of cubic hyperpolarizability - dense space filling model - Schmidt-Rink et al Phys. Rev. B32(1985)

# H-9

## NONLINEAR OPTICAL DICHRISM

Enhanced  $\chi^{(2)}$  -  $\chi_{eff}^{(2)}$  e.g. in direction of polymer chain

$\theta$  - angle between incident electric field and polymer chain


Harmonic intensity

$$I_{3\omega} \sim \cos^2 \theta \cdot I_0^2$$



Example: coaxed OCH thin film

Di-axis orientation of polymer chains mutually perpendicular.



In linear optics (crossed polarizers, linear optics, dichroism)

$$I = I_0 [\cos^2 \theta + \cos^2 (90^\circ - \theta)] = I_0$$

In THG experiments




$$E_{3\omega} = A(\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta) E_\omega^2 \quad \text{harmonic field}$$

$$I_{3\omega} = |E_{3\omega}|^2 = |A|^2 (\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta)^2 I_\omega^2 \quad \text{harmonic intensity}$$


$\theta$  - angle between incident electric field and polymer chain.

## POLARIZATION EFFECTS

LB film




Decrease of SH intensity due to an internal polarization creation of e-h pairs and their separation in external DC field



Third harmonic intensity from a di-oriented p-OCH thin film obtained by voltex on a KBr single crystal substrate.

# H-10



Setting of the internal polarization by charge drainage at the laser spot boundaries.

## CONCLUSIONS

### ADVANTAGES

Large  $\tau(3)$  with fast response time  $\sim 25$  ns  
Two photon resonance in telecommunications window  $\lambda = 1.3 \mu m$  on  
active optical bistability  
logic systems  
Thin film feasibility by different techniques  
oriented thin films  
colitary  
Optical gap and physico-chemical properties can be modified  
by a choice of side groups

### PROBLEMS

Light scattering  
amorphous thin films (?)  
Photodecomposition  
Chemical stability : PTS ;  
blue-red transformation (in some cases)

## WORKERS

Jean MESSIER - chief of the laboratory  
Pierre-Alain CHOLLET  
Dominique GREC  
Jean-Michel YUNZI  
Fabrice CHARRA - graduate student  
Jacques BANIDE  
Roger GRAS - technical staff  
André LORIN

## EXTERNAL COLLABORATIONS

Isabelle LEDOUX - CNET Saclay  
Josselin ZYSS  
Alain BOUDET - CNRS Toulouse  
Jacques LEMOICNE - CRM Strasbourg  
Anne THIERRY  
Shahed ETEMAD - Bellcore  
Lewis ROTHBERG - AT&T Murray Hill  
Michel DUMONT  
Jean-Claude LOULERGUE - Institut d'Optique Orsay  
Yves LEVY  
Gernhard MEGNER - MPI Mainz

Y. R. Shen

University of California at Berkeley

NONLINEAR OPTICAL MEASUREMENTS  
ON LIQUID CRYSTALS  
AND  
QUASI-LIQUID CRYSTALS



## I-2

# Optical Nonlinearities of Liquid Crystals and Quasi-Liquid Crystals

Motivation:

Liquid crystal molecules are  
highly nonlinear

Existence of many liquid crystals  
with different molecular structures

Dependence of nonlinearities on  
molecular structure

Garry Berkovic  
Theo Rasing

### I-3

Technique for measuring  $\vec{\chi}^{(2)}$

SHG from molecular monolayer  
spread on water

$$\chi_{ijk}^{(2)} = N L_{ii} L_{jj} L_{kk} \langle G_{ijk}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\Omega) \rangle \alpha_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(2)}$$

If  $\Omega \equiv 0$  and  $L$ 's are known,

then the  $n$  elements of  $\vec{\chi}^{(2)}$   
can be deduced from measurements  
of  $n+1$  independent components  
of  $\vec{\chi}^{(2)}$ .


Advantages :

1. Molecular density can be a variable.
2. Local field effect could be less important.


Disadvantages :

1. Not all molecules are spreadable on water.
2. Molecules could interact with water.

ALKYL-CYANO-(POLY)-PHENYLS



ALKYL-CYANO-PHENYL-PYRIMIDINES



ALKOXY-CYANO-BIPHENYL



# 1-5

**TABLE 1** Second order polarizabilities,  $\alpha_{zzz}^{(2)}$ , for molecules I - VI.  
 $\theta_0$  is the average orientation angle of the molecular long axis to the surface normal, as calculated from the SHG data.


|     | $ \alpha_{zzz}^{(2)} $<br>( $10^{-30}$ esu) |                 | $\theta_0$<br>( $^\circ$ ) |
|-----|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
|     | at 2 $\mu$<br>resonance                     | at 1.06 $\mu$ m |                            |
| I   | 4                                           | 2               | $80 \pm 10$                |
| II  | 25                                          | 3               | $71 \pm 2$                 |
| III | 13                                          | 2               | $60 \pm 2$                 |
| IV  | 8                                           | 2               | $79 \pm 3$                 |
| V   | 8                                           | 2               | $82 \pm 4$                 |
| VI  | 40                                          | 5               | $78 \pm 2$                 |

## Results:


1.  $\alpha^{(2)} \sim 10^{-29}$  esu
2. CN is a better electron donor than COOH.
3. Interruption of electron delocalization decreases  $\alpha^{(2)}$
4. Triphenyl is worse than biphenyl presumably because of twist between phenyl rings.

# Nonlinearities of Hemicyanine Dyes and the Environmental Effect

G. Marowsky  
L. F. Chi  
D. Mobius  
R. Steinke  
D. Dorsch  
B. Rieger





I-7




Marowsky, Chi, Möbius, Steinhoff,  
Shen, Dorsch, Rieger: Nonlinear  
Optical Properties ...  
Fig. 2

## Effects of Protonation.

1. Suppresses charge-transfer absorption
2. Drastically reduces  $\chi^{(2)}$ .
3.  $\chi^{(2)} \propto$  charge-transfer absorption  
 $\propto N_{\text{unprotonated}}$
4. UV absorption band has little effect  
on  $\chi^{(2)}$ .



Karavinsky, Chl., Möbius, Steinhoff,  
Shon, Dorsch, Rieger, Nonlinear  
Optical Properties ...



# Poling

on

Quasi Liquid Crystal films

Theory of Poling.  
Assume  $\chi^{(2)}$  and  $\vec{P}$  dominated by  $\chi_{333}^{(2)}$  and  $p_3$ .  $\vec{E}$  along  $\hat{x}$ .

$$\chi_{xxx}^{(2)} \propto \chi_{333}^{(2)} \langle \cos^3 \theta \rangle$$


$$\chi_{yyx}^{(2)} \propto \chi_{333}^{(2)} [\langle \cos \theta \rangle - \langle \cos^3 \theta \rangle]$$

$$\langle \cos^n \theta \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 \cos^n \theta e^{-V/kT} d(\cos \theta) / Z$$

$$V = V_N - U \cos \theta - p E \cos \theta$$

$$V_N = -U_2 P_2(\cos \theta) - U_4 P_4(\cos \theta) - \dots$$

$$\langle \cos^n \theta \rangle \cong \int_{-1}^1 \cos^n \theta \left(1 + \frac{U + pE \cos \theta}{kT}\right)^n \frac{V_N/kT}{\times d(\cos \theta) / Z_N}$$



SPIROPYRAN

MEROCYANINE

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle \cos^n \theta \rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{U + pE}{kT} \langle \cos^{n+1} \theta \rangle_0 \quad n \text{ odd} \end{aligned}$$



$$\langle \cos^3 \theta \rangle = \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle_0 \quad \text{indep of } E$$

$$\langle P_2(\cos \theta) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (3 \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle - 1) = \langle P_2(\cos \theta) \rangle_0 \equiv S$$

obtained from birefringence

$$\langle \cos \theta \rangle = \langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle_0 \left( \frac{u + \frac{pE}{kT}}{kT} \right)$$

$$\langle \cos^3 \theta \rangle = \langle \cos^4 \theta \rangle_0 \left( \frac{u + \frac{pE}{kT}}{kT} \right)$$

$$\chi_{xxx}^{(2)} \propto \langle \cos^4 \theta \rangle_0 \left( \frac{u + \frac{pE}{kT}}{kT} \right)$$


$$\chi_{yyx}^{(2)} \propto [\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle_0 - \langle \cos^4 \theta \rangle_0] \left( \frac{u + \frac{pE}{kT}}{kT} \right)$$

Measurements of  $\chi_{xxx}^{(2)}$  and  $\chi_{yyx}^{(2)}$

versus  $E$  allows the determination of

$\langle \cos^4 \theta \rangle_0$ ,  $u$ , and  $\phi$ .

I-10



**J-1**

D. J. Gerbi

3M Co.

NONLINEAR OPTICAL EFFECTS  
IN  
CONJUGATED SYSTEMS

## SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR OPTICAL EFFECTS IN CONJUGATED SYSTEMS

DIANA GERBI

G. T. BOYD

D. A. ENDER

R. M. HENRY


K. K. KAM

P. C. W. LEUNG

ADVANCED OPTICAL MATERIALS  
SCIENCE RESEARCH LABORATORY  
3M CORPORATE RESEARCH LABORATORIES

J. J. STOFKO

## ADVANCED OPTICAL MATERIALS PROGRAM (ORGANIC-BASED DEVICES)



- IDENTIFY CANDIDATE MATERIALS
- UNDERSTAND AND MAINTAIN MOLECULAR ORDER
- DETERMINE APPLICATIONS OF MATERIALS. FEASIBILITY IN DEVICES

$\chi^{(2)}$

OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS

FIBER OPTICS LAB  
TELCOMM  
3M DORRAN  
EOTEC

SENSORS

FIBER OPTICS LAB

$\chi^{(3)}$

OPTICAL SIGNAL PROCESSING

INFORMATION AND  
IMAGING TECHNOLOGIES

OPTICAL SIGNAL PROCESSING  
OPTICAL SENSOR PROTECTION

AFOSR

## OUTLINE

- I. REVIEW OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR OPTICS, CHARACTERIZATION METHODS AND DEVICE CONFIGURATIONS
- II. ADVANTAGES OF ORGANIC NONLINEAR OPTICAL MATERIALS
- III. MATERIALS PROCESSING/APPLICATIONS
- IV. ELECTRO-OPTIC MATERIALS - CRYSTALS AND POLED POLYMER SYSTEMS

### MACROSCOPIC POLARIZATION:

### REVIEW OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR OPTICAL EFFECTS

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_0 + \chi^{(1)} \mathbf{E} + \chi^{(2)} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} + \chi^{(3)} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{E} \dots$$

#### ELECTRO-OPTIC EFFECT:

Index of refraction changes with applied voltage

$$n = n_0 + \Delta n(E)$$

$$\Delta n \propto \chi^{(2)}$$

#### SUM FREQUENCY GENERATION:

Output frequency = sum of input frequencies

$$\omega(\text{out}) = \omega_1 + \omega_2$$

$$\text{SHG: } I(2\omega) \propto [\chi^{(2)}]^2 [I(\omega)]^2$$

#### DIFFERENCE FREQUENCY GENERATION:

Output frequency = difference of input frequencies

$$\omega(\text{out}) = \omega_1 - \omega_2$$

### MICROSCOPIC POLARIZATION:

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_0 + \alpha_f \mathbf{E}_f + \beta_{ijk} \mathbf{E}_f \mathbf{E}_k + \gamma_{ijkl} \mathbf{E}_f \mathbf{E}_k \mathbf{E}_l \dots$$

### CHARACTERIZATION METHODS FOR SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR OPTICAL MATERIALS


#### POWDER SHG

#### SINGLE CRYSTAL SHG

#### EFISH

#### POLYMER POLING

#### ELECTRO-OPTIC EFFECT



**POWDER SHG**

$$\eta = \frac{I(2w)_{\text{sample}}}{I(2w)_{\text{urea}}}$$

MATERIAL FORM: MICROCRYSTALLINE POWDER

ADVANTAGES: USEFUL FOR SCREENING


DISADVANTAGES: CANNOT RESOLVE MICRO- AND  
MACROSCOPIC CONTRIBUTIONS  
TO NONLINEARITY

**SINGLE CRYSTAL SHG****MAKER FRINGE**

MATERIAL FORM: LARGE SINGLE CRYSTAL (mm to cm)

ADVANTAGES: MEASURES TENSOR ELEMENTS  $\chi^{(2)}$

DISADVANTAGES: REQUIRES CRYSTAL ORIENTATION,  
INDICES OF REFRACTION, POLISHING

**EFISH**

MATERIAL FORM: SOLUTION (LOW POLARITY SOLVENTS)

ADVANTAGES: OBTAIN MOLECULAR PROPERTIES,  
COMPARISONS

DISADVANTAGES: MOLECULAR PROPERTIES ARE  
SUBJECT TO ENVIRONMENT


**POLYMER POLING**

Figure 2. Experimental set-up for thin film SHG measurement using Fresnel rhomb FR, transparent electrodes E, polymer film P, poling voltage V, heater H, color filter F, Glan-Thompson prism GT, monochromator M and detector D.

MATERIAL FORM: POLYMER SYSTEM

ADVANTAGES: REAL LIFE ENVIRONMENT,

EASY SIGNAL DISCRIMINATION

DISADVANTAGE: NOT A DIRECT MEASUREMENT FOR E-O

## ELECTRO-OPTIC EFFECT




Figure 1. Experimental set-up for electro-optic measurement using polariser P, analyzer A, and Babinet-Soleil compensator C.

MATERIAL FORM: POLYMER SYSTEMS, CRYSTALS

ADVANTAGES: DIRECT MEASUREMENT (DEVICE FORM)

DISADVANTAGES: LESS SENSITIVE THAN SHG  
NOT AN IN-SITU TEST  
CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC ORIENTATION  
FOR CRYSTALS

## ADVANTAGES OF ORGANIC MATERIALS

- \* SYNTHETIC TAILORABILITY VIA DELOCALIZATION/SUBSTITUENTS
- \* SUBPICOSECOND RESPONSE TIMES
- \* TRANSPARENCY
- \* MECHANICAL AND STRUCTURAL STABILITY
- \* HIGH RADIATION DAMAGE THRESHOLD
- \* ENVIRONMENTAL STABILITY
- \* PROCESSABILITY
- \* ROOM TEMPERATURE OPERATION OF DEVICES

## MATERIALS PROCESSING

## SPIN-COATED POLYMERS

e.g. CHANNEL WAVEGUIDES


- REQUIRES LOW LOSS ( $<1$  dB/cm)
- REPRODUCIBLE, WELL-KNOWN TECHNIQUES AVAILABLE
- INDUCE ORDER RATHER THAN RELY ON CRYSTAL PACKING

## CRYSTALS

e.g. BULK CRYSTALS  
CHANNEL WAVEGUIDES  
VAPOR DEPOSITED FILMS

- REQUIRES LOW LOSS ( $<1$  dB/cm)
- BULK CRYSTALS MUST BE DURABLE ENOUGH FOR CUTTING AND POLISHING
- COMPLETE CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC ORIENTATION IS REQUIRED
- PRINCIPAL OPTIC INDICES AND AXES RELATIVE TO EXTERNAL MORPHOLOGY MUST BE DETERMINED


## SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION APPLICATIONS




doubling optical frequency gives 4x storage density

## APPLICATIONS — ELECTRO-OPTIC EFFECT


modulator



directional coupler



# ELECTRO-OPTIC PHASE SHIFTER



MODULATOR, GYRO

\* COMPARE  $\text{LiNbO}_3$

$$\lambda = 850 \text{ nm}$$


Insertion loss 6dB

$$\text{BW} = 3 \text{ GHz}$$

$$V_{\pi} = 5V \quad (4 \text{ cm})$$

Room Temperature

# ELECTRO-OPTIC PHASE SHIFTER



NONCENTROSIMMETRIC CRYSTAL

$$\chi_{33}^{(2)} = \frac{\lambda n_e d}{2V \pi L}$$

$$\chi_{33}^{(2)} \approx 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ esu} \approx 30 \cdot \chi^{(2)} \quad (\text{linear})$$

POLED POLYMER

$$\chi^{(2)} = c N \mu \beta E \quad \begin{array}{l} N = 1 \text{ Molar} \\ E = 0.4 \text{ MV/cm} \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu \beta \approx 50 \cdot \mu \beta \quad (\text{pNA})$$

$$A < 0.1/\text{cm} \quad (1 \text{ dB/cm})$$




| HALONITROANILINES | RELATIVE<br>EFFICIENCY<br>(UREA) | $\mu$ (DEBYE) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
|                   | 20                               | 4.471         |
|                   | $\leq 0.001$                     | —             |
|                   | $\leq 0.001$                     | 9.403         |
|                   | $\leq 0.001$                     | 4.433         |
|                   | 2                                | 7.722         |
|                   | 0.03                             | 5.281         |
|                   | $\leq 0.001$                     | 8.023         |


| MATERIAL                                                          | SPACE<br>GROUP     | a        | b       | c       | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ | $z$ |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|----------|-----|
| 5-chloro-2-nitroaniline<br>(phase I)                              | Pna2 <sub>1</sub>  | 30.844 Å | 3.852 Å | 6.004 Å | 90°      | 90°     | 90°      | 4   |
| 5-bromo-2-nitroaniline<br>(5-chloro-2-nitroaniline)<br>(phase II) | P 1                | 7.344    | 7.844   | 7.091   | 97.95    | 116.69  | 83.23    | 2   |
| 2-chloro-4-nitroaniline*                                          | Pna2 <sub>1</sub>  | 11.25    | 16.85   | 3.87    | 90       | 90      | 90       | 4   |
| 3-chloro-4-nitroaniline                                           | P2 <sub>1</sub> /C | 3.813    | 12.531  | 14.467  | 90       | 91.20   | 90       | 4   |

\* A. T. McPhail and G. A. Sim, J. Chem. Soc., 227 (1965)

MOLECULAR MODELLING



$\beta \propto$  difference in ground state and excited state  
dipole moments



$a = 30.844 \text{ \AA}$   
 $b = 3.852$   
 $c = 6.004$

SPACE GROUP  
 $Pna2_1$




Figure 4. An illustration of the crystal structure of 5-chloro-2-nitroaniline. Significant intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonding indicated by thin lines.





Figure 5. A projection of the ribbon-like packing structure of 5-chloro-2-nitroaniline. Molecules in each row are connected by significant intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

# 5-CHLORO-2-NITROANILINE

SPACE GROUP  $Pna2_1$

polarization axis  $\parallel$  C-axis


$$P \propto \chi(333) \propto \beta(zzz) \cos^3 \theta$$




$$\underline{Z} \cdot \underline{C} = \cos \theta = 0.906$$

$$\text{SHG PACKING EFFICIENCY} \approx 0.74$$

# 2-CHLORO-4-NITROANILINE





## ELECTRODE CONFIGURATION FOR MEASURING E-O EFFECT



$$\text{FIGURE OF MERIT} = \frac{1}{2} |n_1^3 r_{122} - n_2^3 r_{221}| = 18 \text{ pm/v}$$


$$E_{DC} = \hat{y}$$

EFISH on 3-CHLORO-4-NITROANILINE



## SHG STUDIES OF POLED POLYMERS

### PRINCIPLE OF POLING GUEST/HOST SYSTEMS




### INVESTIGATE:

- Extent of ordering
- In-situ  $\beta$
- Poling dynamics

### CONDITIONS FOR POLING

#### 3-CHLORO-4-NITROANILINE IN PMMA



$I_{SHG} \propto E^2$  local poling

- 0.7 M in PMMA (10% by wt)
- $E = 6 \times 10^4$  V/cm
- $T = 110^\circ C$

### RESULTS OF POLING EXPERIMENTS

- CALCULATED  $\beta$  AGREES WITH MEASURED
- OBSERVED DECREASE OF  $\chi^{(2)}$  WITH TIME

| CONDITIONS           | $\chi^{(2)}$ (esu)     |
|----------------------|------------------------|
| $E, 110^\circ C$     | $1 \times 10^{-10}$    |
| $E, RT$              | $1 \times 10^{-10}$    |
| $E = 0$ (30 sec), RT | $0.5 \times 10^{-10}$  |
| $E = 0$ (65 hr), RT  | $0.39 \times 10^{-10}$ |

*POLED POLYMER SYSTEMS FOR ELECTRO-OPTIC EFFECT*

| <b>GUEST</b>  | <b><math>\mu \cdot B</math> (<math>\times 10^{-28}</math> D-esu)<br/>at 1.58 microns</b> | <b>A/molar</b> |                |                |                |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|               |                                                                                          | <b>810 nm</b>  | <b>1000 nm</b> | <b>1300 nm</b> | <b>1500 nm</b> |
| <b>3MX-40</b> | <b>37</b>                                                                                | <b>84</b>      | <b>51</b>      | <b>30</b>      | <b>27</b>      |
| <b>3MX-3</b>  | <b>19</b>                                                                                | <b>1.5</b>     | <b>0.13</b>    | <b>0.09</b>    | <b>0.1</b>     |
| <b>3MX-36</b> | <b>18</b>                                                                                | <b>0.6</b>     | <b>0.3</b>     | <b>0.3</b>     | <b>0.3</b>     |
| <b>3MX-2</b>  | <b>15</b>                                                                                | <b>0.5</b>     | <b>0.6</b>     | <b>0.6</b>     | <b>0.6</b>     |
| <b>3MX-1</b>  | <b>11</b>                                                                                | <b>0.07</b>    | <b>0.06</b>    | <b>0.05</b>    | <b>0.07</b>    |
| <b>3MX-8</b>  | <b>10</b>                                                                                | <b>0.03</b>    | <b>0.04</b>    | <b>0.04</b>    | <b>0.04</b>    |

**K-1**

G. R. Meredith  
E. I. DuPont DeNemours and Co.

**OPTICAL NONLINEARITY: MOLECULES,  
ASSEMBLIES AND WAVE PHENOMENA**

**L-1**

G. Khanarian  
Hoechst-Celanese

# CHARACTERIZATION OF POLYMERIC NONLINEAR OPTICAL MATERIALS

Characterization of Nonlinear  
Optical Organic Materials

G. Khananian

Hoechst Celanese Corporation  
Summit, New Jersey 07901


ACS Workshop

Virginia Beach, May, 1988

T. CHE  
R. DEMARTINO  
D. HAAS  
T. LESLIE  
H. MAN  
J. STAMATOFF  
C. TENG  
H. YOON

## OUTLINE

- o Molecular Miller's Rule
- o Polymer Structure and  $\chi^2$
- o Measurement of  $\chi^2$  by Second Harmonic Generation
- o Measurement of  $\chi^2$  by the Pockels Effect
- o Results for MNA/PMMA
- o MNA/PMMA/Sol-Gel Glasses - Role of Molecular Motion
- o Langmuir Blodgett Films - Role of Internal Optical Field
- o Application to Devices





## ANHARMONIC OSCILLATOR MODEL

### BASIC PHYSICS

$$\beta_{xxx} = \frac{-m\epsilon}{Ne^2} \alpha_{xxx}$$

m mass of electron

e charge of electron

N oscillator strength

$\epsilon$  anharmonic constant

$$\alpha_{xxx} = \frac{L^3}{L^3}$$

$\omega$  angular frequency

L length of molecule

### MOLECULAR

$$P = \mu_x + \alpha E + \beta E^2 + \gamma E^3$$

### MATERIAL

$$P = \chi^{(1)} E + \chi^{(2)} E^2 + \chi^{(3)} E^3$$

$$\frac{d^2 r}{dt^2} + 2\gamma \frac{dr}{dt} + \omega_0^2 r - \epsilon r^3 = -\frac{e}{m} E$$

$$\chi^{(1)}(\omega_a) = \frac{Ne^2}{m} \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - 2i\gamma\omega_a - \omega_a^2}$$

$$\chi^{(3)}(\omega_a, \omega_a) = -\frac{m\epsilon}{N^2 e^2} [\chi^{(1)}(\omega_a)] [\chi^{(1)}(\omega_a)] [\chi^{(1)}(\omega_a + \omega_a)]$$

Expt. ( $10^{11}$  esu)


Theory ( $10^{11}$  esu)

$\frac{m\epsilon}{Ne^2}$

1

3.0

$\log \beta_{xxx}$  versus  $\log \alpha_{xxx}$   
Verification of molecular Miller's Rule



$$\eta^2 = \frac{3n^2 + 48}{-2(2)^2 + 2(2)^2}$$

- $M$  = molecular weight
- $n$  = refractive index
- $\lambda$  = wavelength of light
- $\epsilon$  = dielectric constant
- $\rho$  = density
- $K$  = Kerr constant
- $\eta^2$  = molar Kerr constant

$$\eta^2 = \frac{4 - n^2}{310} \left[ \frac{3 \mu^2}{\lambda^2} + \frac{3 \epsilon \rho}{\lambda^2} \right] + \frac{20 \mu^2}{3 k T} = 10 \mu^2$$

$$\beta_{zz} = \beta_{zz} = \beta$$

$$\epsilon_{zz} = \epsilon_{zz} = \epsilon_{zz} + \epsilon_{xx} + \epsilon_{yy}$$

$$\beta_{zz} = \beta_{zz} + \beta_{xx} + \beta_{yy} \quad (1st \text{ hyperpolarizability})$$

$\beta$  = mean 2nd hyperpolarizability

$N_A$  = Avogadro's number

$k$  = Boltzmann's constant

$T$  = temperature

$\bar{\alpha}$  = mean polarizability

$\mu$  = dipole moment

TABLE I

Molar Kerr constants  $\eta^2_K$  ( $\times 10^{-9}$  esu), linear polarizability  $\alpha_{zz}$  ( $\times 10^{-24}$  esu) and  $\beta_{zz}$  ( $\times 10^{-30}$  esu)

| Compound | $\eta^2_K$ | $\alpha_{zz}$ | $\beta_{zz}$ |
|----------|------------|---------------|--------------|
|          | 0.985      | 13.2          | 1.0          |
|          | 6.850      | 18.7          | 5.7          |
|          | 14.5       | 29.5          | 20           |
|          | 16.9       | 39.6          | 51           |

$\eta^2_K$  values are at 0.633  $\mu\text{m}$ .  $\alpha_{zz}$  has been deduced from equation 3, and literature values for the dipole moment  $\mu$ , and the average polarizability,  $\bar{\alpha}$ , values reported above are at 1.9  $\mu\text{m}$ . A dispersion formula has been used to go from 0.633 to 1.91  $\mu\text{m}$ .  $\beta_{zz}$  are also at 1.91  $\mu\text{m}$ .

## Types of Molecular Packing

| Packing | Type        | Order  | Magnitude |
|---------|-------------|--------|-----------|
|         | Non-centric | Second | Moderate  |
|         | Non-centric | Second | Strong    |
|         | Centric     | Third  | Strong    |
|         | Centric     | Third  | Weak      |

$\longrightarrow$  Direction of dipole moment

## $\chi^{(2)}$ OF POLED FLEXIBLE DIPOLAR POLYMERS

● Theory same as for elish

● Exact calculations can be done for single chains - Flory Theory




FIG. 2. Designation of bonds of PPNS,  $X = \text{NC}_2$ .

TABLE II.  $\langle \mu \cdot \beta \rangle / x$  ( $\times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ ) and  $\langle \mu^2 \rangle / x$  ( $\times 10^{-18} \text{ SC}^2 \text{ cm}^2$ ) of poly(p-nitrostyrene) vs tacticity  $p$ ,  $T = 298 \text{ K}$ ,  $x = 200$  repeat units.

| $p$                | $\langle \mu \cdot \beta \rangle / x$ | $\langle \mu^2 \rangle / x$ |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.0 (isotactic)    | 21.5                                  | 10.7                        |
| 0.2                | 16.6                                  | 8.27                        |
| 0.4                | 16.3                                  | 8.12                        |
| 0.6                | 16.8                                  | 8.36                        |
| 0.8                | 16.4                                  | 8.17                        |
| 1.0 (syndiotactic) | 12.5                                  | 6.23                        |

J. KHANARIAN, J. CHEM. PHYS., 1962, 36, 2586




Table 2: Average Monomer Susceptibilities for Various Molecular Weight Copolymers.

| Molecular Weight, $\bar{M}_n$ | Calculated Number of Monomer Units, $n$ | $M_2 B_2 / n$ ( $10^{-18} \text{ esu}$ ) | Enhancement factor, $G$ |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| NLO chromophore <sup>a</sup>  | —                                       | 57                                       | —                       |
| 17,000                        | 37                                      | 830                                      | 15                      |
| 70,000                        | 152                                     | 1140                                     | 20                      |


<sup>a</sup> Determined by GPC relative to polystyrene.

<sup>b</sup> Structure II from Table 1.


C. S. Willard, S. E. Feih, M. Scozzalava, and D. J. Williams  
Corporate Research Laboratories, Eastman Kodak  
Company, Rochester, N. Y.

G. D. Green,\* J. I. Weinschenk, III, H. K. Hall, Jr., and J. E. Mulvaney


C. S. Marvel Laboratories, Department of Chemistry,  
University of Arizona, Tucson, Az.



Maker Fringe of EFISH of Dioxane  
at  $\lambda = 1.535 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $\text{HV} = 5.0 \text{ kv}$



Second Harmonic Intensity  
From a Poled MNA/PMMA Alloy



SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION FROM POLED POLYMERS

The second harmonic intensity is given by


$$\frac{I(2\omega)}{I(\omega)^2} = t^2 T d_{33}^2 p^2 l_c \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{L}{l_c} \frac{n}{(n - \sin \theta)} \right)^2$$

- t, T Fresnel transmission factors
- d Second harmonic coefficient
- p Projection factor of d tensor onto optical electric field
- $l_c$  Coherence length
- n Refractive index
- L Thickness of sample
- $\theta$  Angle of rotation

$$\chi^{(2)}(-2\omega, \omega, \omega) = 2d$$

When  $\theta = 0$ ,  $p = 0$  and there is no component of d along E, and there is no second harmonic observed

The Maker fringe arises from an interference between the fundamental beam and generated harmonic wave



## THEORY OF MEASUREMENT FOR POCKELS AND KERR EFFECT

The birefringence  $\Delta n$  induced in a Kerr cell is:

$$\Delta n = \lambda B E^2$$

and in a Pockel cell:

$$\Delta n = 1/2 m^3 E$$

The birefringence results in a retardation  $\tau$ :

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi \Delta n l}{\lambda}$$

In the small angle approximation, Equation 4 becomes:

$$\frac{I}{I_0} = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \tau]$$

A sinusoidal voltage is applied across the electro-optic cell

$$V = V_0 \sin \omega t$$

Substituting Equation 7 into Equation 5

$$\frac{I}{I_0} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\tau_{\text{Kerr}}}{2} + \frac{\tau_{\text{Pockel}} \sin \omega t}{2} - \frac{\tau_{\text{Kerr}} \cos 2\omega t}{2}$$

The apparent angular dependence of the Pockels effect arises from change of optical path length

$$\frac{d}{\cos \theta}$$

where  $d$  is the thickness of the sample

The analyzing light beam sees a different birefringence as a function of angle

$$\Delta n(\theta) = \Delta n(\theta = 90^\circ) \sin^2 \theta$$

$\theta$  is the angle between the normal axis of sample and the light beam

## POCKELS EFFECT

The optical retardation is

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} l \Delta n$$

$l$  Optical path length

$\Delta n$  induced birefringence

$\lambda$  Wavelength of light

The induced birefringence

$$\Delta n = \frac{1}{2} (n_1^2 r_{11} + n_2^2 r_{22}) E$$

$r$  Pockels constant

$n$  Refractive index


$E$  Applied electric field

For poled materials


$$r_{11} = \frac{r_{33}}{3}$$

This result is obtained from a thermodynamic model for poling


- Boltzmann statistics




## SCHEMATIC OF ELECTROOPTIC APPARATUS




## Pockels Effect from Poled MNA/PMMA Sample



## Pockels Linear Electro-optic Effect From a $\chi^{(2)}$ Polymer



## SOL-GEL TECHNOLOGY




## KERR EFFECT OF SOL-GEL GLASS

MNA added to sol-gel glass

PMMA is added to sol gel glass to give mechanical strength

Slab was cut and polished for electro-optic measurement

**Arrhenius Plot of Kerr Constant for MNA Optical Composites**




## THERMODYNAMIC MODEL

Assume that nonlinear optical molecules are distributed orientationally according to Boltzmann statistics.


$$\chi_{xxx}^{(3)}(-2\omega, \omega, \omega) = N f^3 s \frac{\epsilon(\bar{n}^2 + 2)}{\bar{n}^2 + 2\epsilon} \frac{\mu E}{5kT}$$

|            |                     |
|------------|---------------------|
| N          | number density      |
| f          | internal field      |
| s          | hyperpolarizability |
| $\mu$      | dipole moment       |
| E          | poling field        |
| k          | Boltzmann constant  |
| T          | temperature         |
| $\epsilon$ | dielectric constant |
| $\bar{n}$  | refractive index    |

## NLO Side Chain Polymers First Generation



## Dependence of Glass and Clearing Temperatures on Carbon Spacer Length



## RESULTS

| Sample          | $\chi^{(2)}(-2\omega, \omega, \omega)$ | $\chi^{(2)}(-\omega, \omega, 0)$ | $\chi^{(2)}(calc)$ |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| 10%<br>MNA/PMMA | 1.6                                    | 1.5                              | 0.6                |

All  $\chi^{(2)}$  values are  $\times 10^{-8}$  esu and are at  $1.06 \mu\text{m}$

$\chi^{(2)}(-2\omega, \omega, \omega)$  measured at  $1.06 \mu\text{m}$

$\chi^{(2)}(-\omega, \omega, 0)$  measured at  $0.63 \mu\text{m}$  and a dispersion relation was used to obtain values at  $1.06 \mu\text{m}$

$\chi^{(2)}(calc)$  used the thermodynamic model



## NLO Polymer Properties


|                                         |           |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|
| $\chi^{(2)}$ at 1.3 $\mu\text{m}$       | > 50 pm/V |
| $r$ (calculated)                        | > 14 pm/V |
| $n$ (1.3 $\mu\text{m}$ )                | 1.57      |
| $\epsilon$ (DC)                         | 3.5       |
| $\epsilon$ ( $n^2$ )                    | 2.6       |
| waveguide loss at 1.3 $\mu\text{m}$     | 0.9 dB/cm |
| Spin coatable from common solvents      |           |
| Poled for application                   |           |
| Stable (<10% loss) at >50°C for 5 years |           |

### LANGMUIR-BLODGETT FILMS

- 2D Material
- Orientation of Molecules by Surface
- Useful for Fundamental Studies of:
  - Interaction of radiation with molecules (spectroscopy)
  - Tilt angles
  - Hyperpolarizability
  - Molecule/surface interactions
  - Interaction between layers
  - Local optical electric field effects

### Langmuir-Blodgett Film (LBF) Formation

- Is the deposition on a surface of a single molecular layer at a highly ordered state
- Sequential deposition leads to periodically structured films
- It is done by transfer of a compressed molecular surface layer on a liquid onto a solid surface by dipping



- Applications
  - Nonlinear optics: Bistable switches  
Thin film SH and TH generation
  - Electro-optics: Thin film light modulator
  - Integrated optics: Planar dielectric wave guides




Fig. 5. Plot of the second-harmonic (SH) signals  $1P \rightarrow P$  (O) and  $1S \rightarrow S$  (X) relative to the quartz reference slab versus the area fraction occupied by the dye in the mixed monolayer.

## Local Optical Electric Field

$$D_z = \epsilon_0 E_z - (\alpha_{zz}) E_z - (\beta_{zzz}) E_z^2 - (\gamma_{zzzz}) E_z^3$$

$$E_z = E_z + \sum_j T_{zz}^{(j)} P_z$$

$$T_{zz}^{(j)} = \frac{-1}{\pi a^3}$$

$\mu$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are the dipole moments and polarizabilities

Z axis is normal to glass surface

## Linear Mean Field Approximation

- \* Neglect fluctuation in dipole moment
- \* Assume that linear polarizability alone changes local dynamic optical field.

$$\sum_j T_{zz}^{(j)} = \rho \int_0^a \frac{2\pi r dr}{r^3}$$

$$= \frac{2\pi\rho}{a}$$

$\rho$  = surface density of dipoles

$a$  = radius of nonlinear optical molecule


## Results for the nonlinear

susceptibility

$$\chi_{zzz} = \frac{3\mu}{2\pi\alpha_{zz}} \frac{x}{(1+x)^2} - \frac{3\gamma\mu a}{2\pi\alpha_{zz}^2} \frac{x^2}{(1+x)^2}$$

$$x = \frac{2\pi\alpha_{zz}\rho}{a}$$

Variation of effective  $\chi_{zzz}$  of a Langmuir Blodgett Film versus normalized concentration of active nonlinear optical molecule



## CLASSES OF ORGANIC NLO DEVICES

### 1 Electro-Optic Devices

- Control of light with electronics
- Based on the second order NLO susceptibility  $\chi^{(2)}$
- Applications: Hybrid optical processing and optical communications


### 2 All Optical Devices

- Control of light with light
- Based on the third order NLO susceptibility  $\chi^{(3)}$
- Applications: All optical computing and ultra-fast optical communications

## POLYMER GUIDE FABRICATION PROCESS

### COMPONENTS

- Spin Coating (thin film formation)
- Buffer Layer and Substrate Materials
- Patterning
- Metallizing
- Beam Coupling



MODULATOR RESULTS

| MATERIAL  | LENGTH<br>(CM.) | V <sub>π</sub><br>(V) | λ<br>(nm) | F <sub>MAX</sub><br>(MHz) | Γ <sub>33</sub><br>(pm/V) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| PMMA: pNA | 1.0             | 450                   | 633       | 30                        | .5                        |
| HCC #0520 | 1.0             | 45                    | 633       | 0.5                       | 3.5                       |
| HCC #1622 | 2.0             | 30                    | 830       | 10*                       | 3.75                      |
| HCC #1238 | 1.5             | 4.0                   | 830       | 1                         | 20                        |

$$\Gamma_{33} = \frac{3 \lambda G}{2n^3 L V_{\pi} \Gamma}$$

Γ ≈ .85 to .95 for these structures

OTHER MATERIAL PROPERTIES

> N FROM 1.51 TO 1.66

> ε (100 KHZ) ≈ 3.6

SUMMARY

- Organic NLO research at HCC has developed outstanding NLO and EO polymers
- These materials, additionally, exhibit combination of excellent performance characteristics and fabrication properties for NLO and EO devices
- Initiative to develop a series of important NLO devices has been undertaken at HCC
- Fabrication science and technology for the materials is a key component of the initiative

**M-1**

T. Richardson  
University of Oxford, U. K.

PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION  
OF  
ORGANO-TRANSITION METAL  
LANGMUIR-BLODGETT FILMS

THE PREPARATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF  
ORGANO-TRANSITION METAL LANGMUIR-BLODGETT FILMS

T. RICHARDSON, G.G. ROBERTS  
UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD  
DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE  
PARKS ROAD, OXFORD, OX1 3PJ, ENGLAND

M.E.C. POLYAKA, S.G. DAVIES  
DYSON PERRINS LABORATORIES  
UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD  
SOUTH PARKS ROAD, OXFORD, OX1 3QY, ENGLAND

A SERIES OF NOVEL RUTHENIUM COMPOUNDS HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED FOR USE WITH THE LANGMUIR-BLODGETT DEPOSITION TECHNIQUE. COMPLEXING OF A  $\pi$ -IRUTHENIUM (CYCLOPENTADIENYL-BIS TRIPHENYLPHOSPHINE) HEAD GROUP TO A CYANOTERPHENYL LIQUID CRYSTAL MOLECULE HAS BEEN SHOWN TO INCREASE THE SECOND-ORDER NON-LINEAR OPTICAL HYPERPOLARIZABILITY AND FURTHERMORE INDUCE MULTILAYER FORMATION. OPTICAL ABSORPTION DATA HAVE REVEALED THE EXCELLENT REPRODUCIBILITY OF SUCCESSIVE MONOLAYER TRANSFER. SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION HAS BEEN OBSERVED AND THE EFFECT HAS BEEN INCREASED BY INCORPORATING UNSUBSTITUTED LIQUID CRYSTAL MOLECULES INTO THE VOIDS BETWEEN THE TERPHENYL CHAINS OF ADJACENT RUTHENIUM SUBSTITUTED MOLECULES. WE HAVE FURTHER OPTIMISED THE NON-LINEAR RESPONSE BY SYSTEMATICALLY VARYING THE ELECTRON-RELEASING CHEMICAL GROUP AND THE CONJUGATION WITHIN THE MOLECULE.




FIGURE 1

- (A) A TYPICAL CYANO-TERPHENYL MOLECULE (I).  
(B) THE  $[(C_{11}H_{25}) Ru (PPh_3)_2]$  SUBSTITUTED MOLECULE POSSESSES A LARGER SECOND-ORDER NON-LINEAR OPTICAL HYPERPOLARIZABILITY THAN COMPOUND I.




FIGURE 2

THE BEHAVIOUR OF MOLECULES OF COMPLEX I AND II UNDERGOING COMPRESSION ON THE WATER SURFACE. (A) COMPLEX I AT PH 5.8, (B, C AND D) COMPLEX II AT PH 3.8, 5.8 AND 8.7 RESPECTIVELY. THE MOLECULAR AREAS AT RELATIVELY HIGH SURFACE PRESSURE (20  $mNm^{-1}$ ) CORRESPOND ACCURATELY TO THE MEASURED CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA OF THE RUTHENIUM HEAD GROUP.




FIGURE 3

OPTICAL ABSORPTION VERSUS THE NUMBER OF TRANSFERRED MONOLAYERS. THE LINEAR RELATIONSHIP INDICATES THE REPRODUCIBILITY OF SUCCESSIVE MONOLAYER DEPOSITION.





FIGURE 4

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE SQUARE ROOT OF THE SECOND HARMONIC GENERATION SIGNAL AND STRENGTH THE THICKNESS OF THE FILM. THE APPROXIMATELY LINEAR RELATIONSHIP IMPLIES THE UNIDIRECTIONAL ALIGNMENT OF THE MOLECULES.




| COMPLEX | SHG SIGNAL NORMALIZED TO II |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| II      | 1.0                         |
| II/I    | 1.5                         |
| III     | 11.0                        |
| IV      | 77.0                        |

FIGURE 6

OPTIMISATION OF NON-LINEAR RESPONSE. THE SECOND HARMONIC SIGNAL IS HIGHLY DEPENDENT UPON THE ELECTRON DONOR AND THE DEGREE OF CONJUGATION.

(a) NO INCORPORATION



(b) INCORPORATED CTP MOLECULES



FIGURE 5

HIGH PACKING DENSITY STRUCTURES.

- (A) THE LIQUID CRYSTAL MOLECULES MAY REMAIN ON THE WATER SURFACE,  
OR  
(B) THEY MAY BE INCORPORATED INTO THE MATRIX FORMED BY THE RUTHENIUM SUBSTITUTED MOLECULES.





FIGURE 7

OPTIMISATION OF THE CARBON CHAIN LENGTH:-

(A) II VS.  $A_m$  PLOT FOR COMPLEX V, SHOWN IN INSERT

(B) THE Z-TYPE DEPOSITION OF COMPLEX V. HIGHER RATES OF DEPOSITION ARE POSSIBLE WITH  $CdCl_2$  IN THE SUBPHASE.

**N-1**

S. K. Tripathy  
University of Lowell

OPTICAL PROPERTIES  
OF  
ORGANIZED ASSEMBLIES



# OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF MOLECULAR ASSEMBLIES

SUKANT TRIPATHY  
UNIVERSITY OF LOWELL

LOWELL, MA 01854

- Selected conjugated molecular and Macromolecular systems
- Organized mono and multilayer assemblies
- Characterization of microstructure and morphology
- Measurement and anticipation of electronic and optical properties

## Selection of materials

### 1. Linked donor-acceptor molecules.


anticipated large 2nd order effects.  
example MNA

### 2. Polymers with delocalized backbone electronic structure.



anticipated large 3rd order susceptibilities.  
example Polydiacetylenes


## N-2

### POLYDIACETYLENES







- Backbone Responsible for the Optical and the Nonlinear Optical Properties
- The Side Groups Provide a Handle for Fabrication and Structure Control







## ARRANGING MONOLAYERS IN A PLANNED ORDER













$$n_g = \lambda_0 / \lambda = \sin(\theta_{RES})$$


$$(n_g \approx k_y / k_0)$$









# PUMP-PROBE: SATURATED ABSORPTION




## EXPERIMENTAL SCHEMATIC




PUMP-PROBE OF TBTBMS OF 15.8 PDA (blue phase)  
 $\lambda = 630nm$   
 fit data with exponential time constant = 1.5ps




PUMP-PROBE OF TBTBMS OF 15.8 PDA  $\lambda = 630nm$   
 component of the data with long time  
 constant is subtracted off





LINEAR ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF LASER-INDUCED RED PHASE LB FILMS OF PDA 15-8



Structure of the polydiacetylene backbone. The numbers label the carbon atoms on the backbone and the single masses representing the side groups R and R' in the vibrational model.

SCF ab initio Hartree Rock

Semi empirical (EH)

VEH gives ab initio quality results

σ and π bonds treated equally

MNDO - Excellent geometry all electrons.

|     | $\Delta H_f$ | $E_{tot}$ |
|-----|--------------|-----------|
| PDA | 498.6        | -106275.8 |
| PBT |              | -106159.7 |

$\Delta/rep. = 14.5$  Kcals/Mole.


BANDGAP OF PDA AS A FUNCTION OF NONPLANARITY

|           | 0°    | 5°    | 10°   | 15°   | 20°   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| eV        | 2.596 | 2.558 | 2.505 | 2.612 | 2.721 |
| $cm^{-1}$ | 20938 | 20631 | 20850 | 21070 | 21947 |
| nm        | 478   | 485   | 480   | 475   | 458   |




# EFFECT OF SUBSTITUENTS ON PDA ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE





|                | BW               | $\epsilon_g$     | $E_{lp}$         |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| PDA            | 3.972<br>(32043) | 2.596<br>(20938) | 5.338<br>(43056) |
| $^{+2}$ PDA    | 1.115<br>(8998)  | 1.769<br>(14266) | 4.903<br>(39544) |
| $(CH_3)_2$ PDA | 3.265<br>(26337) | 2.449<br>(19753) | 5.039<br>(40642) |




Molecular Structures of Some Representative Molecules



Visible Spectra of Partially Oxidized Polypyrrole in Solution




Synthetic Scheme




PYRROLE: HDP=500: 1 POLYPYRROLE



|                       |                       |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| $d_1=2.58\text{ \AA}$ | $d_1=2.54\text{ \AA}$ |
| $d_2=1.55\text{ \AA}$ | $d_2=1.55\text{ \AA}$ |
| $d_3=1.33\text{ \AA}$ |                       |
| $d_4=1.01\text{ \AA}$ |                       |
| $d_5=0.90\text{ \AA}$ |                       |



Isoterm of 3-Hexadecyl Pyrrole  
(mixed monolayers with pyrrole monomer)





# N-12



IR SPECTRA OF  $C_{14}H_{31}$  PYRROLE LB FILMS IN THE C-H STRETCHING REGION.

- (A) TRANSMISSION OF 5 MONOLAYERS ON ZnSe
- (B) GIR OF 5 MONOLAYERS ON Pt
- (C) GIR OF 1 MONOLAYER ON Pt



**O-1**

I. Peterson


GEC Research, Ltd., Great Britain

THE NONLINEAR OPTICS  
OF  
LANGMUIR-BLODGETT FILMS


# THE NONLINEAR OPTICS OF LANGMUIR-BLODGETT FILMS

Theoretical advantages:


- Good geometry
- Chromophore alignment
- Dense packing




Building up thick films



Geometry



Overlay structure







Fig 1 Comparison of nonlinear optical figures of merit for organic and inorganic solids. ( $d$  is measured at  $1.05 \mu\text{m}$ ;  $l$  is the strain-free quantity measured at  $0.633 \mu\text{m}$ , except for GaAs where it is measured at  $0.9 \mu\text{m}$ )

YF Garito & KD Singer  
Laser Focus 80(1982)59

### WHAT MOLECULE?



$$\frac{\beta}{\epsilon_0 V}$$

$$65 \text{ pm/V}$$

$$1100 \text{ pm/V}$$

$$\text{cf. } \text{LiNbO}_3 \quad \chi_{\text{SHG}}^{(2)} = 10 \text{ pm/V}$$

### Two-state Approximation

$$\beta = \frac{3e^3 \hbar^2}{2m} \cdot \frac{W_0}{(W_0^2 - 4W^2)(W_0^2 - W^2)} \cdot f \Delta\mu$$

$W_0$  State transition energy

$W$  Photon energy  $\hbar\omega$

$f$  Oscillator strength

$\Delta\mu$  Dipole moment difference

The best materials have

- Long-wavelength absorption
- Strong absorption
- Charge transfer

Ian Girling } GEC  
Graham Cross } Hirst  
John Earls } Research

JOERS (LB nonlinear opt)

Durham Mike Petty

Lancaster Richard Tredgold

Hull George Gray



Plessey Jack Brettle

British Telecom


Roger Heckingbott

My new address.....

Institut für phys. Chemie  
Universität Mainz FRG




## Second Harmonic Generation





### GEDYE MEROCYANINE MONOLAYERS

|            | $\chi^{(2)}$ (pm/V) | $\theta^\circ$ |
|------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Low $\pi$  | 250                 | 15             |
| High $\pi$ | 1300                | 9              |



Deposition of  $\chi^{(2)}$ -active


0-5



4  $TP-P(x)$  and  $TS-S(o)$  second harmonic signal ( $10^{-27} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-2}$ ) from mixed monolayer




## Measurement of refractive index

Surface plasmon  
Attenuated Total Reflection








# Pockels-ATR Technique



0-7



**P-1**

R. S. Lytel  
Lockheed Research and Development

ADVANCES  
IN  
ORGANIC ELECTRO-OPTIC DEVICES

R&DD  
ORGANICS

LOCKHEED

## ADVANCES IN ORGANIC ELECTRO-OPTIC DEVICES

MAY 19, 1988

R. LYTEL

Lockheed Research and Development Division  
LOCKHEED MISSILES AND SPACE COMPANY, INC.  
3251 Hanover St., Palo Alto, California 94304

R&DD  
ORGANICS  
3/88

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

LOCKHEED

|            |                |                  |
|------------|----------------|------------------|
| DARPA      | U.S. ARMY      | HOECHST-CELANESE |
| * J. NEFF  | * E. SHARP     | * J. STAMATOFF   |
|            | * W. EISER     | * R. DEMARTINO   |
| AFOSR      |                | * H. YCKW        |
| * D. BRUCH | U. PENN        |                  |
| AFWAL/AFML | * A. F. GARITO | U. S. MISS.      |
| * P. LAM   |                | * A. GRIFFIN     |

R&DD  
ORGANICS  
3/88

## THE LOCKHEED ORGANIC DEVICES GROUP

| SYNTHESIS                                                                     | ANALYSIS                                                                | GRATINGS/FILTERS                                     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| S. ERMER<br>M. STILLER                                                        | S. KWIATKOWSKI<br>G.F. LIPSCOMB<br>R. LYTEL<br>D. SWANSON<br>A. TICKNOR | M. STILLER<br>B. SULLIVAN                            |
| CHARACTERIZATION                                                              | WAVEGUIDES                                                              | SPATIAL LIGHT<br>MODULATORS                          |
| J. ALTMAN<br>K. ARON<br>P. ELIZONDO<br>G. HANSEN<br>G.F. LIPSCOMB<br>R. STONE | G.F. LIPSCOMB<br>R. LYTEL<br>M. STILLER<br>J. THACKARA<br>A. TICKNOR    | D. ARMITAGE<br>W. EADES<br>M. STILLER<br>J. THACKARA |

## MAJOR BENEFITS OF NONLINEAR ORGANIC/POLYMERIC MATERIALS

\* ORGANIC/POLYMERIC MATERIALS OFFER UNIQUE OPTICAL AND  
STRUCTURAL FEATURES FOR DEVICE APPLICATIONS

\* MOLECULAR PROPERTIES CAN BE ENGINEERED TO ACHIEVE  
DESIRED MACROSCOPIC PROPERTIES

### STRUCTURAL

### OPTICAL

|                                 |                                 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| * MOLECULAR ENGINEERING         | * LARGE, NONRESONANT RESPONSE   |
| * THIN FILMS AND BULK CRYSTALS  | * LOW DC DIELECTRIC CONSTANTS   |
| * ROOM-TEMPERATURE OPERATION    | * FAST RESPONSE                 |
| * CHEMICAL/STRUCTURAL STABILITY | * HIGH OPTICAL DAMAGE THRESHOLD |
| * INTERNAL GRATINGS/STRUCTURE   | * BROADBAND                     |
| * ARCHITECTURAL FLEXIBILITY     | * LOW ABSORPTION                |

# P-3

R&DD  
ORGANICS  
3/88

## ORGANIC MATERIALS IN INTEGRATED OPTICS

LOCKHEED

- CURRENT TECHNOLOGY: TELINbO<sub>3</sub>
  - Materials Dev. Began in 1960s
- $r = 32$  pm/V
  - Larger Modulating Voltage
  - Little Improvement Expected
- LIMITED FABRICABILITY
  - 1000°C Processing
  - Depth Limited to 5  $\mu$ m
  - Low Index Change  $\Delta n$
  - Loss > 0.1 dB/cm
  - Optical Damage (Photorefractor)
- LARGE DIELECTRIC CONSTANT (28)
  - Longer Time Constants = RC
  - Large Velocity Mismatch in Traveling Wave Modulator
- MASS PRODUCTION DIFFICULT
  - \* Sem Proc. Materials Research Society, Boston, MA (12/87)
  - \*\* Current Lockheed/Boeing/Celanese Result
- PROPERTIES OF POLYMERIC ORGANIC E-O MATERIALS
  - Materials Dev. Began 1975
- $r = 14-53$  pm/V (poled films\*)
  - Lower Modulating Voltage
  - Potentially Much Larger  $r$
- FLEXIBLE FABRICATION
  - Low Temperature Processing
  - Flexible Dimensions
  - Controllable Index Change  $\Delta n$
  - Loss < 0.8 dB/cm\*\*
  - High Optical Damage Threshold
- LOW DIELECTRIC CONSTANT (4)
  - Shorter Time Constants = RC
  - Smaller Velocity Mismatch
- POTENTIAL FOR MASS PRODUCTION

R&DD  
ORGANICS  
3/88

## ORGANIC MATERIALS IN OTHER DEVICES

LOCKHEED

### ELECTRO-OPTIC DEVICES

- \* SPATIAL LIGHT MODULATORS, TUNABLE FILTERS, SHUTTERS
- \* MATERIALS WITH LARGE  $r$  AND LOW DIELECTRIC CONSTANTS EXIST AND ARE AVAILABLE
- \* FABRICATION UNDERWAY IN THIS PROJECT


### ALL-OPTICAL DEVICES

- \* ETALON SWITCHES, ALL-OPTICAL FILTERS, NONLINEAR WAVEGUIDE DEVICES
- \* NONRESONANT NONLINEARITIES ARE STILL FAR TOO SMALL FOR MICRON-SIZED DEVICES-RESONANT MATERIALS REQUIRED
- \* NONRESONANT MATERIALS NEARLY ADEQUATE FOR GUIDED WAVE DEVICES

R&DD  
ORGANICS  
3/88


## ORGANIC E-O THIN-FILM WAVEGUIDE MODULATORS

LOCKHEED



| CONTACTS                          | BUFFER LAYERS                                                                     | POLYMERS                                   |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| COPPER<br>ALUMINUM<br>GOLD<br>ITO | POLYSILOXANE RESIN<br>UV CURABLE COATINGS<br>SILICON DIOXIDE<br>2-COMPONENT EPOXY | MMA/PMMA<br>PC65<br>C-22<br>HCC-1237 (new) |

\* TYPICAL LAYER THICKNESS OF ORDER 2 MICRONS  
\* POLING ACCOMPLISHED PRIOR TO FINAL ASSEMBLY




| H&DU<br>ORGANICS<br>3/88               | POLED POLYMER FILMS<br>AND SLAB WAVEGUIDE MODULATORS | LOCKHEED<br>PC6S<br>C-22 |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| (wavelength = 0.83 $\mu\text{m}$ )     |                                                      |                          |
| E-O COEFFICIENT<br>(pm/V)              | 2.8                                                  | 14.0                     |
| TM REFRACTIVE INDEX<br>(POLED)         | 1.7                                                  | 1.58                     |
| TM INDEX DIFFERENCE<br>(POLED-UNPOLED) | 0.06                                                 | 0.005                    |
| WG LENGTH<br>(cm)                      | 1.8                                                  | 2.5                      |
| MEASURED LOSS<br>(dB/cm)               | 3-4                                                  | 0.8                      |
| HALF-WAVE VOLTAGE<br>(volts)           | 48                                                   | 7                        |


## FABRICATION OF CHANNEL WAVEGUIDES BY SELECTIVE POLING

- \* SINGLE POLARIZATION BURIED CHANNEL WAVEGUIDES CAN BE FABRICATED BY SELECTIVE ELECTRIC FIELD POLING IN THIN FILM POLYMERS USING VERTICAL OR TRANSVERSE ELECTRODES
- \* POLING PRODUCES HIGHER-INDEX, E-O CHANNELS BURIED IN SURROUNDING, UNPOLED, LOWER INDEX POLYMER
- \* WAVEGUIDE PATTERN DEFINED BY PHOTOLITHOGRAPHY
- \* RELATIVELY LOW TEMPERATURE PROCESSING, 100-200 C
- \* FLEXIBLE DIMENSIONS IN HEIGHT AND WIDTH, 1-100 MICRONS
- \* VARIABLE INDEX DIFFERENCE  $\Delta n \approx 0.001-0.05$
- \* CAN MODE MATCH TO STANDARD SINGLE MODE FIBER
- \* CONCEPT PROVED IN DEVICE STRUCTURES: MACH ZEHNDER INTERFEROMETER, COMPUTER TRAVELING WAVE MODULATOR

## VERTICAL AND TRANSVERSE POLING OF GLASSY POLYMER FILMS/WAVEGUIDES





# ORGANICS 3/88 INTEGRATED OPTIC MODULATOR FABRICATION




Optical Modulation By Electro-Optic Modulation

## ORGANICS 3/88 CHANNEL BOUNDARY IN SELECTIVELY-POLED GUIDES




- Loss of the Extent of Poling Beyond the Electrode Boundaries
- Sample Placed at 45° Between Crossed Polarizers
- Focused HeNe Spot Scanned Across Polled Waveguide Regions
- Poling induced Birefringence at the Guide Boundary Would Cause Increased Signal
- Maltese Poling Electrode Blocks Beam and Causes a Dip in the Background Signal
- Poling Shapply Confined to the Unfringed Region

## ORGANICS 3/88 FABRICATION AND OPERATION OF A SELECTIVELY-POLED M-Z INTERFEROMETER





- POLLED BY 65, 90° C, 5 AMP, 100 V/μm
- GOLD POLING ELECTRODE ON PPS, REMOVED AFTER POLING
- 1" BRANCH FLANGE
- 780 nm LIGHT, 10 MODE COUPLED
- GUIDE DIMENSIONS 2 x 7 μm




Optical Modulation By Electro-Optic Modulation

## ORGANICS 3/88 CHANNEL BOUNDARY IN SELECTIVELY-POLED GUIDES





- Loss of the Extent of Poling Beyond the Electrode Boundaries
- Sample Placed at 45° Between Crossed Polarizers
- Focused HeNe Spot Scanned Across Polled Waveguide Regions
- Poling induced Birefringence at the Guide Boundary Would Cause Increased Signal
- Maltese Poling Electrode Blocks Beam and Causes a Dip in the Background Signal
- Poling Shapply Confined to the Unfringed Region

# LOCKHEED FABRICATION AND OPERATION OF A SELECTIVELY-POLED DIRECTIONAL COUPLER




- POLED C: 22; 105° C, 15 MIN., 90 V/μm
- 3° COUPLING ANGLE
- 840 nm LIGHT, TM MODE CONFINED
- INPUT/OUTPUT GUIDES 2 x 7 μm
- COMMON GUIDE 2 x 13 μm

Device Structure After Poling Completed




## LOCKHEED TRAVELING WAVE MODULATORS


- PHASE MODULATOR IN ONE ARM OF A MACH-ZEHNDER INTERFEROMETER
- CALCULATED MODULATION DEPTH USING: MEASURED AT LOW FREQUENCY EQUALS MEASURED MODULATION DEPTH AT HIGH FREQUENCY
- IMPEDANCE MATCHED, 9.5 dB




- PHASE MODULATOR IN ONE ARM OF A MACH-ZEHNDER INTERFEROMETER
- RESPONSE SMALL DUE TO IMPEDANCE MISMATCH, BUT CLEAR, GHz MODULATION IS OBSERVABLE



# LOCKHEED TRAVELING WAVE INTEGRATED OPTIC PHASE MODULATOR



- POLED C: 22 CHANNEL, (100V/μm)
- ACTIVE PATH LENGTH 1 cm
- WAVELENGTH 830 nm
- DEVICE IMPEDANCE: 9.5 ohms
- DRIVE SIGNAL 0.5 W (applied through transformer, 1/4 wave @ 250 MHz)



## LOCKHEED SIGNIFICANCE OF ORGANIC E-O WAVEGUIDE RESEARCH

### CRITICAL RESULTS IMPLICATIONS

- POLED POLYMER WAVEGUIDE FAB IS CHEAP, FAST, AND SIMPLE
- PATTERNS DETERMINED BY ORDINARY PHOTO LITHOGRAPHY
- E-O COEFFICIENTS NEAR LITHIUM NIOBATE LEVELS
- DIELECTRIC CONSTANTS ARE 7-10 TIMES SMALLER THAN INORGANICS
- MODULATION TO 1.3 GHz SHOWN, TO >> GHz ACHIEVABLE
- DEVICES BUILT AND OPERATED: INTERFEROMETERS, COUPLERS, AND TWO PHASE MODULATIONS
- MASS PRODUCTION POSSIBLE
- COMPLEX DEVICES ON A CHIP
- LOW DRIVING VOLTAGES COMPATIBLE WITH TTL
- FASTER RESPONSE TIMES AND HIGHER SENSITIVITY
- HIGH SPEED SWITCHING AND INTERCONNECTION
- PROOF OF CONCEPT COMPLETE, CAN MOVE TO COMPLEX DEVICE FABRICATION



**Q-1**

B. K. Nayar

British Telecom Research Laboratories, U. K.

ORGANIC NONLINEAR OPTICAL DEVICES  
AND  
MATERIAL CONSIDERATIONS

**R-1**

M. Thakur

AT&T

# TOWARDS NONLINEAR OPTICAL APPLICATIONS OF POLYDIACETYLENES

## TOWARD NONLINEAR OPTICAL APPLICATIONS OF POLYDIACETYLENES

M. THAKUR  
AT&T BELL LABORATORIES

B. I. GREENE  
J. ORENSTEIN  
K. TAI  
G. C. CHI  
K. J. O'BRIEN  
Y. SHANI


M. GOMEZ  
H. TANAKA  
A. TONELLI

### TOPICS


- MATERIALS ASSESSMENT
- GROWTH OF THIN SINGLE CRYSTAL FILMS
- FABRICATION OF DEVICE STRUCTURES
- DEMONSTRATION OF CHANNEL WAVEGUIDING
- INTENSITY DEPENDENT PHASE-MODULATION

### IMPORTANT PARAMETERS FOR MATERIALS SELECTION

- EXTENT OF CONVERSION (MONOMER → POLYMER)
- EXTENT OF CONJUGATION (PLANARITY)
- THERMAL STABILITY (THERMOCHROMISM)
- SOLUBILITY
- EASE OF CRYSTALLIZATION



## R-3



<sup>13</sup>C Chemical Shifts of Polydiacetylenes

<sup>13</sup>C, ppm vs. TMS

|               | C=O   | C=C   | -C≡   | α-CH <sub>2</sub> | β-CH <sub>2</sub> , γ-CH <sub>2</sub> |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ETCD (blue)   | 157.5 | 131.6 | 107.4 | 37.3              | 24.5                                  |
| PTS-6 (blue)  | —     | 131.3 | 107.1 | —                 | —                                     |
| PTS-12 (blue) | —     | 131.0 | 106   | —                 | —                                     |
| TCDU (blue)   | —     | —     | 107.3 | —                 | —                                     |
| ETCD (red)    | 158.3 | 132.0 | 103.6 | 37.8              | 26.4                                  |
| TCDU (red)    | 155.8 | 131.5 | 102.9 | 37.9, 32.3        | 25.9, 21.8 28.0, 30.8                 |
| ETCD (melt)   | 158.1 | 130.8 | 102.5 | 37.3              | 27.2                                  |

## CONCLUSIONS FROM NMR STUDIES

- THE CONJUGATION LENGTH IS DETERMINED BY THE EXTENT OF PLANARITY OF THE CHAIN-BACKBONE.
- INCREASE OF CHAIN LENGTH DOES NOT GUARANTEE INCREASE OF CONJUGATION LENGTH.
- THE ACETYLENIC FORM IS PREDOMINANT IN POLYDIACETYLENES INDEPENDENT OF PHASES.



## GROWTH OF THIN FILM CRYSTALS

## • THE SHEAR METHOD

ORIENTATIONAL PRINCIPLES  
 REQUIREMENTS ON MOLECULAR SHAPE  
 GROWTH PARAMETERS  
 CONTROL OF THICKNESS  
 OPTICAL QUALITY OF FILMS

## • COMPARISON WITH THE L-B METHOD

## • ASSESSMENT OF APPLICABILITY

COMPARISON OF THE CALCULATED  
MOLECULAR LENGTHS WITH THE OBSERVED  
d-SPACINGS

## SPREADING COEFFICIENT

$$S_{B/A} = \gamma_A - \gamma_B - \gamma_{AB}$$

$$= W_{AB} - W_{BB}$$


$\gamma$  = SURFACE TENSION

$W_{AB}$  = WORK OF ADHESION

$W_{BB}$  = WORK OF COHESION

## FOR SPONTANEOUS SPREADING

$$S_{B/A} > 0$$




$$\text{TOTAL SHEAR } T = T_{\text{cap}} + T_{\text{ext}}$$

$$\text{LATERAL PRESSURE } P \sim 10^7 \text{ DYNES/cm}^2$$

EQUILIBRIUM SPREADING PRESSURE OF A MONOLAYER

$$P_{\text{mono}} \sim 10^7 \text{ DYNES/cm}^2$$

| MATERIALS                                                                     | CALCULATED LENGTH L (Å) | COMPENSATED LENGTH $L \sin \phi$ (Å) | d-SPACINGS OF 1ST ORDER REFLECTIONS (Å) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| $RC \equiv C - C \equiv CR$ WITH R                                            |                         |                                      |                                         |
| 1. $-\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$                                                    | ~8.1                    | 8.1                                  | 8.05                                    |
| 2. $-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{OCONH C}_2\text{H}_5$                               | ~17.9                   | 17.9                                 | 17.87                                   |
| 3. $-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{OCO NH C}_6\text{H}_5$                              | ~19.5                   | 19.5                                 | 18.94                                   |
| 4. $-\text{CH}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CH}_3$                  | ~14.8                   | 12.9                                 | 12.87                                   |
| 5. $-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{OCO NH CH}_2\text{COOC}_4\text{H}_9$                | ~26.9                   | 26.9                                 | 26.80                                   |
| $\text{NH}_2-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{C} \equiv \text{N}$                   | ~8.0                    | 8.0                                  | 8.40                                    |
| $\text{HO}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_2-\text{N}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4-\text{NO}_2$ | ~10.5                   | 10.5                                 | 10.05                                   |



## COMPARISON OF THE L-B AND THE SHEAR METHOD

- POLAR INTERACTIONS HAVE IMPORTANT ROLES IN BOTH THE METHODS.
- IN THE L-B TECHNIQUE A LIQUID IS USED AS THE SUBSTRATE. IN THE SHEAR METHOD SOLID SUBSTRATES ARE UTILIZED.
- THE L-B METHOD APPLIES TO AMPHIPHILIC MOLECULES ONLY. THE SHEAR METHOD IS APPLICABLE TO A BROADER RANGE OF MOLECULES.
- THE L-B METHOD PROVIDES ORDER ALONG ONE DIRECTION ONLY. THE SHEAR METHOD LEADS TO 3-D ORGANIZATION.
- THE L-B FILMS HAVE MICRO-DOMAIN MORPHOLOGY (POOR OPTICAL QUALITY). THE SHEAR-GROWN FILMS ARE UNIFORM SINGLE CRYSTALS (EXCELLENT OPTICAL QUALITY).

## INTENSITY DEPENDENT PHASE MODULATION

$$n = n_0 + n_2 I$$

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} n_2 I L, \quad L = \text{LENGTH OF PROPAGATION}$$


$\Delta\phi = \text{PHASE SHIFT}$

FOR  $\lambda \sim 0.8$  TO  $2.0 \mu$  (HERMANN AND SMITH, 1980)  
 $n_2$  OF PTS  $\sim 7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{MW}$



IF OPTICAL PULSES ( $\lambda \sim 1 \mu$ ) OF PEAK POWER  $\sim 500 \text{ mW}$  ARE FOCUSED ON A CROSS-SECTION  $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}^2$

$$\Delta\phi \rightarrow \pi$$


FOR  $L \sim 1.4 \text{ mm}$ .



WITH SUBPICOSECOND PULSES  
 ENERGY REQUIREMENT  $< 1 \text{ pJ/bit}$   
 THE DATA-RATE THAT THE DEVICE COULD HANDLE  $\sim 1 \text{ THz}$   
 NONABSORPTIVE  $\rightarrow$  NO THERMAL DAMAGE.



## R-6



### SUMMARY

- A COMPARATIVE EVALUATION IS MADE FOR DIFFERENT POLYDIACETYLENES IN RELATION TO NLO DEVICE APPLICATIONS.
- $C^{13}$ -NMR RESULTS SHOW THAT THE CONJUGATION LENGTH IS DETERMINED BY THE EXTENT OF PLANARITY OF THE CHAIN BACKBONE AND NOT BY THE CHAIN LENGTH.
- THE ORIENTATIONAL PRINCIPLES OF THE SHEAR METHOD ARE DISCUSSED IN COMPARISON WITH THE L-B TECHNIQUE.

### SUMMARY (Cont.)

- THE SUPERIORITY OF THE SHEAR METHOD IN PROVIDING GOOD OPTICAL QUALITY SINGLE CRYSTAL FILMS OF CONTROLLED THICKNESS AND ORIENTATION IS DEMONSTRATED WITH MANY EXAMPLES.
- CHANNEL WAVEGUIDING IS DEMONSTRATED (PROPAGATION > 5 mm) FOR SHEAR-GROWN PTS FILMS.
- PRELIMINARY RESULTS OF INTENSITY DEPENDENT PHASE CHANGE IN PTS WAVEGUIDES ARE DISCUSSED.

**S-1**


D. Haarer

Universitat Bayreuth, West Germany

HIGH RESOLUTION  
LASER SPECTROSCOPY IN POLYMERS



Fig.3.1. Local saturation of an NMR line at the frequency  $\omega_0$  (a) before, (b) during, and (c) after irradiation at  $\omega_0$  [3.1]



## S-2




Fig.3.2. (a) Radiation saturation of an ensemble of five optical two-level systems at the center frequency  $\omega_0$ . (b) Photochemical bleaching of an ensemble of five two-level systems at the center frequency  $\omega_0$ . Creation of a photoproduct state absorbing at the frequency  $\omega_k$ . (c) Absorption after photochemical bleaching as described in Fig.3.2b. The photoproduct shows up at the frequency  $\omega_k$ .




Fig.3.4. General lineshape function of an electronic excitation of a guest molecule in a solid host matrix: Schematic view of a zero-phonon line and its phonon sideband. The phonon sideband has an intensity of  $(1-\alpha)p$  and is displaced by the lineshift parameter  $\Delta$ . The latter corresponds to half the "Stokes shift".




Fig.3.3. Three-level system with the reactant levels  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  and the photoproduct level  $|p\rangle$ .  $K_1$ ,  $K_0$  and  $K_p$  are the decay rate constants of the various states.  $\omega_L$  is the hole-burning frequency

Fig. 3.6a-c. Photochemical reaction schemes plotted as a function of one configurational coordinate  $Q$ . (a) Repulsive exciplex-like state. (b) Level-crossing from a "quasi-stable" excited state. (c) Two-photon reaction with photon energies  $\hbar\omega_1$  and  $\hbar\omega_2$ . The level which absorbs the photon  $\hbar\omega_2$  is a long-lived intermediate state





Fig. 3.8. Experimental hole spectrum of phthalocyanine in polystyrene at 4 K. The pseudo-phonon wing is marked by the wavy arrow




Fig. 3.5. Energy diagram for interpreting phonon side-band spectra in terms of the excited state displacement  $q_0$ . The phonon frequency is  $\hbar\omega_0$ . The figure shows a zero-phonon and a three-phonon transition in absorption (A) and emission (F), respectively




Fig. 3.7. Various dye molecules which show photochemical and photochemical hole-burning




Fig. 3.9. Logarithmic scale of relaxation times and of methods of measuring characteristic time constants. Hole-burning is especially well suited for very short and very long relaxation times (see text)




Fig. 3.10. (a) Periodic potential of a crystal-line solid. (b) Potential along a fictitious coordinate  $q$  of a glass. Note the lack of periodicity. (c) Idealized two level scheme with the two parameters  $\Delta$  and  $V_0$  (see text). The oscillators in the two wells are separated by the distance  $d$  and have a zero-point frequency on the order of  $\Omega$




Fig. 3.11. Rate distribution as calculated by Jäckle [3.98]. For the definition of the two limiting rates  $R_{\min}$  and  $R_{\max}$  see text




Fig. 3.12. Functional dependence of the tunnelling matrix element  $\Delta_0$  on the TLS-parameters  $\lambda$  (Fig. 3.12a) and  $\Delta$  (Fig. 3.12b) (see text)




Fig. 3.13. The decay of the area of photochemical holes of quinizarin in alcohol glass for deuterated (squares) and protonated systems (dots)




Fig. 3.14. Line broadening of the photochemical holes of quinizarin in alcohol glass for deuterated (squares) and protonated systems (dots)




Fig. 3.15. Dependence of the relative depth of a photochemical hole on the irradiated light dose B. The upper curve shows the maximally bleached distribution function with a polarization factor of unity. The two curves with parallel and perpendicular polarization are also shown [3.40]




Fig. 3.16a-c. Saturation broadening of photochemical holes for  $H_2PC$  in PE (a), PS (b) and PMMA (c) at different temperatures. The straight lines represent the slopes of the curves for  $E \rightarrow 0$ .  $E$  is the irradiated energy per unit area




Fig.3.17. Distribution function of the absorption frequencies of guest molecules in an electric field  $E_0$ . All molecules absorb at the 0-frequency for  $E = 0$ ; they have identical dipole moment changes  $\Delta\mu$  but are oriented randomly with respect to the external  $E$ -field. The numbers indicate the orientation angles for some representative frequency shifts




Fig.3.18. (a) Theoretical lineshape of a spectral hole for different external field strengths  $f$ . All absorbers have the same  $\Delta\mu$  value. For a field value of  $f = 1$ , the Stark broadening equals the linewidth. (b) The same calculations as a) with a Gaussian distribution of  $\Delta\mu$  values




Fig.3.19. Fluorescence excitation spectra of two holes. One spectrum was burned at  $E = 0$  and one was burned at the field  $E_0$  [3.123]

Fig.3.20. Measured and calculated hole profiles for the system Zn-TBP ( $C_{60}H_{12}Cl_{13}$ )<sub>4</sub> in polyvinylbutyral (see [3.124]). Here the average change in dipole moment is  $\Delta\mu = 0.174$  Debye units




Fig.3.21. Dipolar  $\Delta\mu$  configurations for  $\Delta\mu$  parallel (a) and perpendicular (b) to the transition dipole moment.  $E_0$  is the electric field strength and  $k$  is the wave vector of the incident light




Fig.3.22. Measured and calculated hole profiles for  $H_2Ch$  in polyvinylbutyral [3.124]. Here the calculated  $\Delta\mu$  value is  $\Delta\mu = 0.214$  Debye units




Fig.3.23a, b. Line shift of the molecule  $H_2Pc$  in polyethylene for positive (a) and negative (b) pressures




Fig.3.24. Line shifts of  $H_2Pc$  in PS, PE and PMMA in the low pressure regime




Fig.3.25. Line broadening of sites which have been site-selected at  $\Delta p = 0$  and which drift apart at higher pressures  $\Delta p \neq 0$ . At higher pressures the frequency range of the absorbers extends from  $a_1$  to  $a_2$ . The lower part of the figure shows the line broadening for various sets of molecules  $a_1$ ,  $b_1$  and  $c_1$



# POSTER SESSION ABSTRACTS





## Optical Kerr Measurements on some Ferrocene Derivatives.

C.S.Winter, J.D.Rush and S.N.Oliver.

British Telecom Research Labs.,  
Martlesham Heath,  
Ipswich IP5 7RE,  
England.

We report here preliminary results from a study of the third order non-linearities in a range of organo-metallic compounds. These initial measurements have been carried out using the optical power limiter technique developed by Soileau *et al* <sup>(1)</sup>, where the critical power for self-focusing is measured and used to calculate  $n_2$ . The materials measured were solutions of ferrocene in ethanol, varying from  $10^{18}$  to  $10^{19}$  molecules/cc and a liquid ferrocene derivative, bis(trimethylsilyl)ferrocene. A value of  $\gamma$  for ferrocene of  $4.1 \times 10^{-34}$  esu was found for the solution studies, in good agreement with that measured for the liquid derivative of  $4.6 \times 10^{-34}$  esu. The experiments were carried out at  $1.06 \mu\text{m}$  with 10 ns pulses. We are currently repeating the measurements using other techniques and shorter pulses to distinguish the various possible contributions to the observed  $n_2$ .

(1) M.J.Soileau, W.E.Williams and E.W.Van Stryland;IEEE J Quant Elect.,QE-19,(1983),731.

# Theoretical models for the second hyperpolarizability of novel conjugated polymers

*David N. Beratan  
Jet Propulsion Laboratory  
California Institute of Technology  
Pasadena, CA 91109*

Theoretical models will be presented for the chain length dependence of the second electronic hyperpolarizability of simple polyenes, simple polyynes, and more complicated unsaturated organic materials. The hyperpolarizability of conjugated polymers which incorporate novel localized electronic states will be described as well. These materials present the possibility of achieving enhanced hyperpolarizabilities due to mixing of appropriate "gap states" with the delocalized states of the conjugated polymer. They also present the possibility of designing materials with "switchable" hyperpolarizabilities.

NONLINEAR OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF TRANSITION METAL POLY-YNES  
E.A. Chauchard, M.P. Cockerham, P.L. Porter, S. Guha, C.C. Frazier  
Martin Marietta Laboratories  
1450 South Rolling Road  
(301) 247-0700

Data from third harmonic generation (with a 1.06- $\mu$ m fundamental), power limiting and four-wave mixing measurements of solutions and films of transition metal poly-ynes were used to verify that these compounds have large third-order optical susceptibilities. The large third-order nonlinearities observed in four-wave mixing studies of metal poly-yne solutions may originate, in part, from contributions from the real and imaginary components of intense two-photon absorptions associated with the metal-organic compounds. We will discuss our latest four wave mixing experiments and the direct observation of two-photon absorption in metal poly-ynes.

We will also discuss the correlation of polymer chain length and structure with third-order hyperpolarizability per repeat unit for several metal polymers and oligomers.

## REVERSE SATURABLE ABSORBERS: INDANTHRONE AND ITS DERIVATIVES

R. S. Potember, R. C. Hoffman, and K. A. Stetyick  
Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory  
Johns Hopkins Road  
Laurel, MD 20707-6099

Indanthrone has been shown to exhibit the phenomenon of reverse saturable absorption.<sup>1</sup> The wavelengths at which indanthrone behaves in this fashion can be changed by structural modification of the indanthrone chromophore. The derivatives which have been synthesized have been shown to be more efficient reverse saturable absorbers than the parent chromophore.

It is advantageous to extend the range of nonlinear behavior to any desired portion of the spectrum, and this is best accomplished by substitution on the aromatic portion of the indanthrone molecule. We have demonstrated that oxidized indanthrone, monochloroindanthrone and an oligomer of indanthrone are more efficient saturable absorbers than the parent. Oxidized and monochloro-indanthrone exhibit reverse saturable absorption at both 1064 nm and 532 nm, whereas indanthrone itself exhibits the phenomenon at 532 nm only. The oligomer, which consists of three anthraquinone units, has proved to be an efficient reverse saturable absorber at 1064 nm as well as at 532 nm.

<sup>1</sup>C. R. Giuliano and L. D. Hess, IEEE J. Quantum Elec. QE-3, 338 (1966).

This work was supported in part by the Dept. of the Navy under Contract No. N00039-87-C-5301.

THE PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF POLYMERIC MATERIALS  
WITH ENHANCED SECOND ORDER NONLINEARITIES. M.L. Schilling, H.E.  
Katz, D.I. Cox, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07920.

We have recently introduced a new class of organic materials for second order nonlinear optics, consisting of poled films of dye-containing polymers. In the past year, some uncommon functional groups (di- and tricyanovinyl) have been shown to enhance the molecular susceptibilities of the nonlinear moieties when used instead of their more usual counterparts. Dyes with enhanced nonlinearities by virtue of the cyanovinyl substituents have been exploited in the preparation of bulk materials with some of the highest second order susceptibilities yet reported.

The synthesis of conformationally defined dye aggregates, whose subunit dipoles are constrained to be additive, is in progress. These dyes can then be incorporated into polymeric materials, taking advantage of their enhanced effective dipole moments for increased orientation in poling experiments. We will report some of the new synthetic organic chemistry that has been utilized in preparing our latest electro-optical materials.

# Second Harmonic Generation in Doped Glassy Polymer Films as a Function of Physical Aging

Hilary L. Hampsch[a], Jian Yang[b], George K. Wong[b],  
and John. M. Torkelson[a,c]\*

[a] Department of Materials Science and Engineering

[b] Department of Physics and Astronomy

[c] Department of Chemical Engineering

and the Materials Research Center

Northwestern University

Evanston, Illinois

March 31, 1988

## ABSTRACT

The temporal stability of second harmonic generation (SHG) intensity is measured in poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) and bisphenol-A-polycarbonate (PC) doped with nonlinear optical dyes in electric field poled films. Doped PC films show slower SHG decay than doped PMMA films at times less than 8 hours. In PC+DANS films aged 10 hours at 25°C, there is no SHG decay over the first 8 hours, whereas in PMMA+DANS aged at 25°C and at 60°C the effect is much smaller. Two dopants show the effect of size on SHG decay, with the larger dopant showing increased temporal stability. Good agreement is obtained when decay curves are fit using a Williams-Watts stretched exponential.

\* to whom correspondence should be addressed

## ELECTRO-OPTIC, POLYMER CLAD, E-FIELD SENSOR

L. MICHAEL HAYDEN  
GERALD F. SAUTER

UNISYS CORPORATION, CSD, St. Paul, MN, 55164

### Abstract

There is a military need for sensor systems that combine high sensitivity, immunity to EMI/RFI, large bandwidth, and low power and cost. The hybridization of present day electronic components with optical components offers the promise of sensor systems that meet these requirements.

Unisys has been developing a PVDF-coated fiber optic, electric field sensor and now has expanded its program to include nonlinear organic polymers as cladding and waveguide material. This paper will report on progress using Guest/Host (GH) polymers as cladding material.

The concept of an all dielectric high frequency sensor is demonstrated. The sensor consists of a  $Ag^+$  exchange waveguide coated with a GH nonlinear polymer glass. The sensor is incorporated into one arm of a Mach-Zender interferometer and exhibits a figure of merit of 4 microradians/volt/meter/meter. The frequency response is flat from DC to our current instrumentation limit of 5 MHz.

This sensor design was chosen primarily as a vehicle that would allow an easy method to test and verify design parameters for fiber sensor systems. These parameters include index and depth of guide vs. index, thickness and E-O coefficients of the cladding. We will present a comparison of the results of this sensor with those from the PVDF sensor program.



MOLECULAR CONFORMATION AND THE STABILITY OF  
TICT STATE IN P,P'-DISUBSTITUTE-1,6-DIPHENYL-  
1,3,5-HEXATRIENES

C.T. Lin, H.W. Guan, R. K. McCoy and C. W. Spangler  
Department of Chemistry  
Northern Illinois University  
DeKalb, IL 60115

ABSTRACT


The p,p'-disubstituted linear diphenyl polyenes are expected to have a high optical nonlinear susceptibility because of its large permanent dipole moment induced by the substituents. The photophysical properties for a series of p,p'-disubstituted-1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatrienes (referred as D,A-DPH) are investigated, where D and A are the electron-donating and - accepting groups of -OCH<sub>3</sub>, -N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and -NO<sub>2</sub>. In all solvents used, a dual fluorescence is observed for D,A-DPH containing the internal rotation groups of -N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and/or -NO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting that the "a\*" fluorescence (the locally excited state gives the normal "b\*" fluorescence) is originated from a twisted intramolecular charge transfer (TICT) state. The stability of TICT state is sensitive to the D and A substituents and their relative twisting angles. The observed results provide the evidence of the  $\pi$ -electron distortion and thus the enhancement of optical nonlinearity in this class of molecules.

## Second and Third – Order Nonlinear Optical Properties of End – Capped Acetylenic Oligomers


Joseph W. Perry, Albert E. Stiegman, Seth R. Marder and Daniel R. Coulter

*Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology  
4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109*

We have been investigating the second and third – order nonlinear optical properties of a variety of acetylenic oligomers. A series of symmetric acetylenic oligomers of the form



have been investigated for third – order nonlinear susceptibility. A series of new compounds of the form



where D and A are electron donor and acceptor groups, respectively, have been synthesized and investigated for second – order nonlinearity. Third – order nonlinear susceptibilities of series I molecules in solution have been measured using third harmonic generation (THG). THG susceptibilities at 1064 nm have been determined for various oligomer lengths and end – capping groups.  $\chi(3)$  increases with length and varies with the nature of the end – group. Series II compounds have been screened for second harmonic generation by using the Kurtz powder method. Several samples show SHG efficiencies comparable to urea. Characterization of molecular hyperpolarizabilities for the series is in progress.

Optical Field Induced Scattering in  
Polymer Dispersed Liquid Crystal Films

P. Palffy-Muhoray, Michael A. Lee and J.L. West  
Liquid Crystal Institute  
Kent State University  
Kent, OH 44242

ABSTRACT

We report observations of optical field induced scattering in polymer dispersed liquid crystal films due to reorientation of the liquid crystal molecules by the optical field of a CW argon ion laser. These composite materials consist of nearly spherical liquid crystal droplets dispersed in a polymer binder. As previously reported <sup>1,2,3</sup>, films of these materials may be switched from an opaque scattering state to a clear transparent state by application of a d.c. or low frequency a.c. electric field. An applied low frequency electric field is used to align the liquid crystal in an orientation such that the refractive index of the liquid crystal within the droplet is matched with that of the polymer binder. If a sufficiently intense optical field is applied to the transparent film, it will reorient the liquid crystal director, and give rise to a refractive index mismatch and hence scattering.

1. J.W. Doane, N.A. Vaz, B.-G. Wu and S. Zumer, App. Phys. Lett. 48, 269 (1986).
2. J.L. West, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. (in press).
3. S. Zumer and J.W. Doane, Phys. Rev. A 34, 3373 (1986).

**FABRICATION OF WAVEGUIDE STRUCTURES FROM SOLUBLE POLYDIACETYLENES. G.L. Baker, N.E. Schlotter, J.L. Jackel, P. Townsend, S.Etemad, Bell Communications Research, Red Bank NJ 07701.**

Thin waveguide structures were fabricated from spun films of poly(3-BCMU) and poly(4-BCMU) by two separate processes. In the first, micron-sized features were patterned in polydiacetylene films using deep-UV lithography. This multilayer process utilizes a novel silicon-substituted polyacetylene as the resist layer, and can be used to generate sub-micron sized features in thick ( $>1\mu\text{m}$ ) polydiacetylene films.

In the second method, composite waveguides were fabricated from a patterned glass substrate and an overlayer of the polydiacetylene. These composite structures are relatively simple to prepare and are mechanically robust. Guides constructed as described above performed well with minimal losses and single-moded behavior at laser wavelengths of 1-1.5  $\mu\text{m}$ .

